

# New sufficient conditions for Hamiltonian, pancyclic and edge-Hamilton graphs

Fayun Cao<sup>a,\*</sup>, Han Ren<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Business School, Shanghai 200235 China

<sup>b</sup> School of Mathematics and Science, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241 China

\*Corresponding author, e-mail: caofayun@126.com

Received 3 Nov 2022, Accepted 18 Jun 2023 Available online 16 Jan 2024

**ABSTRACT**: The decycling number  $\nabla(G)$  of a graph *G* is the smallest number of vertices whose deletion yields a forest. Bau and Beineke proved that  $\kappa(G) \leq \nabla(G) + 1$  for every graph *G*, where  $\kappa(G)$  is the connectivity of *G* (Australas J Combin, 25:285-298, 2002). In this paper, we consider graphs with  $\kappa(G) = \nabla(G) + 1$  and establish sufficient conditions for such graphs to be Hamiltonian, pancyclic and edge-Hamilton, respectively. To our knowledge, this is the first result studying Hamilton problem in terms of decycling number. It is well-known that determining the decycling number of a graph is equivalent to finding the greatest order of an induced forest and some sufficient conditions for Hamiltonian graphs are also sufficient for the existence of completely independent spanning trees. This paper may provide a new condition implying completely independent spanning trees.

KEYWORDS: Hamilton cycle, pancyclic, edge-Hamilton, decycling number, connectivity

MSC2020: 05C38 05C45

# INTRODUCTION

Graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple and connected. For general theoretic notations, we follow Bondy and Murty [1]. Throughout the paper, the letter *G* denotes a graph.  $\kappa(G)$  and  $\alpha(G)$  denote the connectivity and independence number of *G*, respectively.

A cycle passing through all the vertices of a graph is called a *Hamilton cycle*. A graph is said to be *Hamiltonian* if it has a Hamilton cycle. We say that a graph is *pancyclic* if it contains cycles of all possible length from three up to the order of the graph. A graph is called an *edge-Hamilton* graph if every edge of the graph lies in a Hamilton cycle. Edge-Hamilton graphs and pancyclic graphs are generalizations of Hamiltonian graphs.

The decision problems that whether a graph contains a Hamilton cycle is one of the most famous NPcomplete problems, and so it is unlikely that there exist good characterizations of such graphs. Although the Hamilton problem has been widely studied, researchers only went an initial step towards the sufficient and necessary conditions which ensure the existence of a Hamilton cycle. For this reason, it is natural to ask for sufficient or necessary conditions. The first sufficient condition for a graph to be Hamiltonian is due to Dirac in 1952 [2].

**Theorem 1** Every graph with  $n \ge 3$  vertices and minimum degree at least n/2 is Hamiltonian.

In 1971, Bondy [3] raised a sufficient condition for a graph to be pancyclic.

**Theorem 2** Let *G* be a Hamiltonian graph on *n* vertices and *m* edges. If  $m \ge n^2/4$ , then *G* is either pancyclic or else is  $K_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}$ .

Since then, many other interesting sufficient or necessary conditions for a graph to be Hamiltonian have been obtained, see [4,5]. In particular, Chvátal and Erdös [6] proved that every graph *G* on at least three vertices and  $\alpha(G) \leq \kappa(G)$  has a Hamilton cycle. In other words, forbidding small connectivity admits a Hamilton cycle. It is interesting that many sufficient conditions for Hamiltonicities on classical graph properties can be naturally extended to the random graphs, see [7,8]. Shang gave a sufficient condition for subgraphs random bipartite graph [9]. Furthermore, He studied the bipancyclicity of random bipartite graphs [10].

If *S* ⊆ *V*(*G*) and *G*−*S* is acyclic, then *S* is said to be a *decycling set* of *G* (also known as *feedback vertex set*). The smallest size of a decycling set of *G* is said to be *decycling number* of *G* and is denoted by  $\nabla$ (*G*). A decycling set of this cardinality is called a  $\nabla$ -set. In theory, determining the decycling number  $\nabla$ (*G*) of graph is equivalent to finding the order of a greatest induced forest. The decycling number problem has a long and rich history and classical question concern its computation. However, it has been shown that determining the decycling number of graphs is NP-hard [11]. Indeed, only a few of graphs are available, such as cubic graphs [12]. It is worth noting that Bau and Beineke [13] considered the relation between decycling number and connectivity of graphs.

**Theorem 3** For every graph G,  $\kappa(G) \leq \nabla(G) + 1$ .

2

Motivated by the results above, in this paper we will characterize the Hamiltonian, pancyclic and edge-Hamilton properties in graphs with  $\kappa(G) = \nabla(G) + 1$ . Here, the purpose that  $\kappa(G) = \nabla(G) + 1$  is to forbid small connectivity. Note that G - S is a tree for every  $\nabla$ -set *S* in such graphs. From now on, we use *t* to denote the number of leaves in G - S.

The main results of this paper are presented as follows.

**Theorem 4** Let G be a graph with  $\kappa(G) = \nabla(G) + 1$ . If there exists a  $\nabla$ -set S of G such that  $\nabla(G) \ge t - 1$ , then G is Hamiltonian.

The condition that  $\nabla(G) \ge t - 1$  could not be weaken, due to the well-known 1-tough property [14].

**Proposition 1** If a graph G has a Hamilton cycle, then for each nonempty set  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , the graph G-S has at most |S| components.

For example, the graph *G* as shown in Fig. 1 satisfies  $\kappa(G) = 2$ ,  $\nabla(G) = 1$  and t = 3 ( $\nabla(G) < t-1$ ). However,  $G - \{s, u\}$  has 3 components, i.e., it fails the necessary condition of Proposition 1. Hence it is not Hamiltonian.





In 1971, Bondy [3] proposed his meta-conjecture: Almost any nontrivial condition on a graph which implies that the graph is Hamiltonian also implies that the graph is pancyclic. The following theorem supports his meta-conjecture in some sense.

**Theorem 5** Let *G* be a graph with  $\kappa(G) = \nabla(G) + 1$ . If there exists a  $\nabla$ -set *S* of *G* such that  $\nabla(G) \ge t$ , then *G* is pancyclic.

Our next result shows that the condition in Theorem 5 also ensures edge-Hamilton.

**Theorem 6** Let *G* be a graph with  $\kappa(G) = \nabla(G) + 1$ . If there exists a  $\nabla$ -set *S* of *G* such that  $\nabla(G) \ge t$ , then *G* is edge-Hamilton.

In the rest of this paper, we will prove our main results. A brief word about our notation. For  $W \subseteq$ V(G), by G - W and G[W] we mean the subgraphs induced by V(G) - W and G[W], respectively. For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , we denote by d(v) the degree of v, and by N(v) the neighborhood of v. Given a subgraph H of G, we let  $N_H(v) = N(v) \cap V(H)$ , and  $d_H(v) =$  $|N_H(v)|$ . If  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , we define  $N_H(v) = N(v) \cap V(H)$ and  $d_S(v) = |N_S(v)|$ . We call a vertex of degree i a ivertex. Given a tree T and a path  $P = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_q$  ( $q \ge 1$ ) on T, where  $v_1$  is a leaf of T, then P is called a *pendant path* of T if it is a maximal path with no vertex of degree  $\ge 3$  (see Fig. 2).



**Fig. 2**  $v_1 v_2 \cdots v_4$  is a pendant path.

### **PROOF OF THEOREMS**

In order to prove Theorem 4, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** If G has a bipartition V(G) = S+T such that: (a) G[T] is a tree, (b) every i-vertex of G[T] is adjacent to at least |S|+1-i vertices of S, and (c)  $|T|-2 \ge |S| \ge$ t-1, where t is number of leaves of G[T], then G is Hamiltonian.

**Lemma 2** Let G be a graph with  $\kappa(G) = \nabla(G) + 1$ . If  $\nabla(G) \ge |V(G)| - \nabla(G) - 1$ , then G is Hamiltonian.

*Proof*: If  $\nabla(G) \ge |V(G)| - \nabla(G) - 1$ , then  $\nabla(G) + 1 \ge |V(G)|/2$ . It follows that,

$$\delta(G) \ge \kappa(G) = \nabla(G) + 1 \ge \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$$

According to Theorem 1, G is Hamiltonian.

Combining Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, one can easily prove Theorem 4.

# **Proof of Theorem 4**

Let *S* be a  $\nabla$ -set of *G* such that  $|S| \ge t - 1$ . Define T = G - S. Then every *i*-vertex of *T* is adjacent to at least |S| + 1 - i vertices of *S*, since  $\delta(G) \ge \kappa(G) = |S| + 1$ . If  $|T| - 2 \ge |S|$ , then the theorem is true according to Lemma 1. Otherwise,  $|S| \ge |T| - 1$ , then  $\nabla(G) \ge$ 

 $|V(G)| - \nabla(G) - 1$ . So, the theorem holds in this case, due to Lemma 2.

Now, our goal is to prove Lemma 1. We first give a result which is a weaker version of Lemma 1.

**Lemma 3** If G has a bipartition V(G) = S+T such that: (1) G[T] is a tree, (2) every *i*-vertex of G[T] is adjacent to at least |S|+1-i vertices of S, and (3) |S| = t-1, where t is number of leaves of G[T], then G is Hamiltonian.

*Proof*: We will write *T* rather than the more customary G[T]. It suffices to prove the lemma in the case that every *i*-vertex of *T* is adjacent to |S| + 1 - i vertices of *S*, i.e, every vertex of *T* has degree |S| + 1 in *G*. Note that every leaf of *T* is adjacent to all vertices of *S*.

We apply induction on t. For t = 2, put S = $\{s_1\}$ . In this case, T is a path. Suppose that T = $v_1v_2\cdots v_n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . Then  $s_1v_1v_2\cdots v_ns_1$  is a Hamilton cycle. Now, assume that t = k+1 with  $k \ge 2$  and set S = $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k\}$ . Choose a pendant path  $P = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$ with  $q \ge 1$ , where  $u_1$  is a leaf of *T*. Since  $u_q$  is a 2-vertex of T,  $u_q$  is adjacent to |S| - 1 vertices of S. Without loss of generality, let  $N_S(u_q) = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{k-1}\}$  and let u be a vertex (other than  $u_{q-1}$ ) adjacent to  $u_q$  on T. Then we have  $d_S(u) \leq k-2$ , since  $d_T(u) \geq 3$ . So, we assume that  $s_1 \notin N_S(u)$ . Let  $G_1 = G - (P \cup \{s_1\}), T_1 = T - V(P)$  and  $S_1 = S - \{s_1\}$ . Then,  $G_1$  has a bipartition  $V(G_1) = T_1 + S_1$ satisfies (1), (2), and (3). Based on the induction hypothesis,  $G_1$  is Hamiltonian. For any leaf  $l_1$  of  $T_1$ (in  $G_1$ ), there exists a Hamilton cycle  $C_1$  of  $G_1$  passes through  $l_1$ . Since  $l_1$  has only one neighbour in  $T_1$ ,  $C_1$ passes through an edge  $s_i l_1$ , where,  $s_{i_1}$  is a vertex of  $S_1$ . We get a Hamilton cycle of G by replacing the edge  $s_{i_1}l_1$  of  $C_1$  with the path  $s_{i_1}u_1u_2\cdots u_qs_1l_1$ . The proof is completed. 

For example, Fig. 3 shows a construction of a Hamilton cycle.

**Remark 1** Remark that we restrict the number of edges between *S* and *T* (every *i*-vertex of *T* is adjacent to |S| + 1 - i vertices of *S*) in the proof of Lemma 3. In the proofs of Lemma 1, Lemma 4, Lemma 6, Lemma 7 and Lemma 9, we will keep this restriction.

By refining slightly the proof of Lemma 3, one can obtain Lemma 1.

#### Proof of Lemma 1

We finish this lemma by applying double induction on t and |T|.

For t = 2, we prove it by using induction on |T|. If |T| = 3, then |S| = 1. Based on Lemma 3, the statement is true. Assume that  $T = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_k$ ,  $k \ge 4$  and set  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_i\}$ ,  $2 \le i \le k-2$  (note that the case |S| = 1 could be treated by Lemma 3). Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $N_S(v_2) = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{i-1}\}$ . define  $G_0 = G - \{v_1, s_i\}$ ,  $T_0 = T - \{v_1\}$  and  $S_0 = S - \{s_i\}$ . Then,  $G_0$  has a bipartition  $V(G_0) = T_0 + S_0$  satisfies (a), (b), and (c). By the induction hypothesis on |T|,  $G_0$  has a Hamilton cycle, say  $C_0$ . It follows that there exists a vertex  $s_{i_0} \in S - \{s_i\}$  such that  $C_0$  passes through the edge  $s_{i_0}v_k$ . Replacing the edge  $s_{i_0}v_k$  by the path  $s_{i_0}v_1s_iv_k$  turns into a Hamilton cycle of G.

Now, assume that  $t = m \ge 3$ . If |T| = m + 1, then |S| = m - 1. Based on Lemma 3, the statement is true. Now, assume that |T| = k with  $k \ge m + 2$  and let  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_i\}$ , where  $m \le i \le k-2$ . We distinguish two cases.

**Case 1.** There is a pendant path  $P_1$  on T with  $|P_1| = 1$ .

In this case, suppose that  $P_1 = u_1$ . Let u be a vertex adjacent to  $u_1$  on T and assume that  $N_S(u) \subseteq \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{i-2}\}$ . Denote  $G_1 = G - \{u_1, s_i\}$ . It is easy to check that  $G_1$  satisfies (a), (b), and (c). By the induction hypothesis on t,  $G_1$  has a Hamilton cycle, say  $C_1$ . For a leaf  $l_1$  of  $T - \{u_1\}$ , there exists a vertex  $s_{i_1} \in S - \{s_i\}$  such that  $C_1$  passes through the edge  $s_{i_1}l_1$ . Replacing the edge  $s_{i_1}l_1$  by the path  $s_{i_1}u_1s_il_1$  makes up a Hamilton cycle of G.

**Case 2.** Every pendant path on *T* contains at least two vertices.

Under this case, we use induction on |T|. First, choose a pendant path  $P_2 = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_q$  of  $T, q \ge 2$ . Assume, without loss of generality, that  $N_S(w_2) \subseteq \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{i-1}\}$ . Let  $G_2 = G - \{w_1, s_i\}$ . Then  $G_2$  satisfies (a), (b), and (c). By the induction hypothesis on |T|,



Fig. 3 A construction of a Hamilton cycle.

 $G_2$  contains a Hamilton cycle  $C_2$ . For a leaf  $l_2$  of  $T - P_2$ , there exists a vertex  $s_{i_2} \in S - \{s_i\}$  such that  $C_2$  passes through the edge  $s_{i_2}l_2$ . Replacing the edge  $s_{i_2}l_2$  by the path  $s_{i_1}w_1s_il_2$  turns into a Hamilton cycle of G.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5 employing the ideas in Theorem 4. First, we also give two lemmas.

**Lemma 4** If G has a bipartition V(G) = S+T such that: (a) G[T] is a tree, (b) every i-vertex of G[T] is adjacent to at least |S|+1-i vertices of S, and (c)  $|T|-2 \ge |S| \ge t$ , where t is number of leaves of G[T], then G is pancyclic.

**Lemma 5** Let G be a graph with  $\kappa(G) = \nabla(G) + 1$ . If  $\nabla(G) \ge |V(G)| - \nabla(G) - 1$ , then G is pancyclic.

*Proof*: If  $\nabla(G) \ge |V(G)| - \nabla(G) - 1$ , then  $\nabla(G) + 1 > |V(G)|/2$ . Thereby,  $\delta(G) > |V(G)|/2$ . Based on Theorem 2, *G* is a pancyclic. □

#### **Proof of Theorem 5**

Let *S* be a  $\nabla$ -set of *G* such that  $|S| \ge t$ . Define T = G - S. Then every *i*-vertex of *T* is adjacent to at least |S|+1-i vertices of *S*, since  $\delta(G) \ge |S|+1$ . If  $|T|-2 \ge |S| \ge t$ , then our theorem is true according to Lemma 4. Otherwise,  $|S| \ge |T|-1$ , i.e.,  $\nabla(G) \ge |V(G)| - \nabla(G) - 1$ . By Lemma 5, the theorem holds.

To prove Lemma 4, we raise the following result.

**Lemma 6** If G has a bipartition V(G) = S+T such that: (1) G[T] is a tree, (2) every *i*-vertex of G[T] is adjacent to at least |S|+1-i vertices of S, and (3) |S| = t, where t is the number of leaves of G[T], then G is pancyclic.

*Proof*: Let n denote the number of vertices in G. We establish the lemma in the same way as we did in Lemma 3. We use induction on t.

For t = 2, let  $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$ . For |T| = 2, there is nothing to prove, so assume that  $T = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_m$ , where  $m \ge 3$  and m + 2 = n. Notice that for a given integer iwith  $1 \le i \le m$ ,  $v_i$  is adjacent to at least one vertex of S. Without loss of generality, assume that  $v_i$  is adjacent to  $s_1$ . Then  $s_1 v_1 v_2 \cdots v_i s_1$  is a cycle of length i + 1. In addition, by Lemma 3, G has a Hamilton cycle, i.e., a cycle of length n. Thereby, the lemma holds for t = 2.

Let us now consider the case  $t = k \ge 3$ . We choose a pendant path  $P = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_q$  with  $q \ge 1$  on T and a vertex u adjacent to  $u_q$ . We may as well suppose that  $N_S(u) \subseteq \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{k-2}\}.$ 

These cycles can be constructed as follows.

Let  $G_1 = G - (V(P) \cup \{s_k\})$ . Then  $G_1$  satisfies (1), (2) and (3). It follows that  $G_1$  is pancyclic, in other words,  $G_1$  has cycles of length from 3 up to n - q - 1. Pick a cycle  $C_1$  of length n - q - 1 in  $G_1$  and a leaf  $l_1$ of T - P. Then there exists a vertex  $s_{i_0} \in S - \{s_k\}$  such that  $C_1$  passes through the edge  $s_{i_0}l_1$ . For each  $1 \le j \le q$ , if  $u_j$  is adjacent to  $s_k$ , then we complete a cycle of length n - q + j by replacing the edge  $s_{i_0}l_1$  with path  $s_{i_0}u_1 \cdots u_js_kl_1$ ; otherwise,  $u_j$  is adjacent to every one of  $S - \{s_k\}$ , then we complete a cycle of length n - q + j by replacing the edge  $s_{i_0}l_1$  with path  $s_{i_0}u_ju_{j-1}\cdots u_1s_kl_1$ . In addition,  $G_1$  also has a cycle  $C_2$  of length n-q-2. What's more, the cycle  $C_2$  must contain a leaf  $l_2$  of T-P, since T-P has at least two leaves. Thereby, there exists a vertex  $s_{i_1} \in S - \{s_k\}$  such that  $C_2$  passes through the edge  $s_{i_1}l_2$ . We get a cycle of length n-q by replacing  $s_{i_1}l_2$  with path  $s_{i_1}u_1s_kl_2$ . This builds the lemma.

#### Proof of Lemma 4

Let *n* denote the number of vertices in *G*. We achieve the lemma by applying induction on |S|. According to Lemma 6, the lemma holds for |S| = t. Assume that |S| = k, where  $|T| - 2 \ge k > t$ . Put  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k\}$ . Let  $T_1 = T$ ,  $S_1 = S - \{s_k\}$  and  $G_1 = G - \{s_k\}$ . Since each *i*vertex *u* in *T* satisfies  $d_{S_1}(u) \ge d_S(u) - 1 = |S| - i + 1 - 1 =$  $|S_1| - i + 1$ ,  $G_1$  has a bipartition  $V(G_1) = T_1 + S_1$  satisfies (a), (b), and (c). By the induction hypothesis,  $G_1$  is a pancyclic graph, i.e.,  $G_1$  has cycles of length *j* for all  $3 \le j \le n - 1$ . Therefore, *G* has cycles of length *j* for all  $3 \le j \le n - 1$ . Further, according to Lemma 1, *G* is Hamiltonian. That is to say *G* has a cycle of length *n*. It follows that *G* is a pancyclic graph.

In the remainder of this paper, we will finish the proof of Theorem 6. The actual proof will be preceded by two lemmas.

**Lemma 7** If G has a bipartition V(G) = S+T such that: (a) G[T] is a tree, (b) every i-vertex of G[T] is adjacent to at least |S|+1-i vertices of S, and (c)  $|T|-2 \ge |S| \ge t$ , where t is the number of leaves of G[T], then G is an edge-Hamilton graph

**Lemma 8** Let G be a graph with  $\kappa(G) = \nabla(G) + 1$ . If  $\nabla(G) \ge |V(G)| - \nabla(G) - 1$ , then G is Edge-Hamilton.

In order to prove Lemma 8, we should introduce anther concept. A graph *G* is called a *Hamiltonconnected* graph if every two vertices of *G* are connected by a Hamilton path. Surely, all Hamiltonconnected graphs are edge-Hamilton. Benhocine and Wojda [15] have shown the following result.

**Theorem 7** Let G be a 2-connected graph on  $n \ge 3$  vertices. If

$$d_G(u,v) = 2 \implies \max\{d_G(u), d_G(v)\} \ge \frac{n+1}{2}$$

for every pair of vertices u and v in G, then G is Hamiltonconnected.

#### Proof of Lemma 8

Combining the conditions that  $\kappa(G) = \nabla(G) + 1$  and  $\nabla(G) \ge |V(G)| - \nabla(G) - 1$ , we deduce that *G* is 2-connected and  $2\nabla(G) + 2 \ge |V(G)| + 1$ . Hence

$$\delta(G) \ge \kappa(G) = \nabla(G) + 1 \ge \frac{|V(G)| + 1}{2}.$$

Based on Theorem 7, *G* is Hamilton-connected. It follows that *G* is edge-Hamilton.

Theorem 6 follows from Lemma 7 and Lemma 8. Its proof is similar to that of Theorem 5. So, we omit it here.

In the following, we will prove Lemma 7. First, we also provide a weaker version.

**Lemma 9** If G has a bipartition V(G) = S+T such that: (1) G[T] is a tree, (2) every i-vertex of G[T] is adjacent to at least |S|+1-i vertices of S, and (3) |S| = t, where t is the number of leaves of G[T], then G is edge-Hamilton.

*Proof*: We complete this lemma by applying induction on *t* as well. For t = 2, let  $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$ . It is easy to check that the lemma holds for |T| = 2, so suppose that  $T = v_1v_2 \cdots v_m$  with  $m \ge 3$ . When considering an edge sv, where  $s \in S$  and  $v \in T$ , we only refer to the edge  $s_1v_2$ , since the other cases resemble it. If  $v_3$  is adjacent to  $s_1$ , then  $s_1v_2v_1s_2v_mv_{m-1}\cdots v_3s_1$  is a Hamilton cycle with the edge  $s_1v_2$ . Otherwise,  $v_3$  is adjacent to  $s_2$ , then  $v_2s_1v_mv_{m-1}\cdots v_3s_2v_1v_2$  is a Hamilton cycle with  $s_1v_2$ . Notice that from procedure of finding Hamilton cycle passing through  $s_1v_2$ , it is easy to find a Hamilton cycle passing through any given edge on *T*. In addition,  $v_1v_2\cdots v_ms_1s_2v_1$  is a Hamilton cycle containing the edge  $s_1s_2$ . Hence, the statement is true for t = 2.

Let us consider the case  $t = k \ge 3$  and set  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ .

(**I**). Edge *x y* on *T*.

We first choose a pendant path  $P = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_q$ ,  $q \ge 1$ , such that  $x, y \notin P$ . Let further v (other than  $v_{q-1}$ ) be a vertex adjacent to  $v_q$  on T. We suppose that  $N_S(v) \subseteq \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{k-2}\}$ . Let  $G_1 = G - (V(P) \cup \{s_k\})$ . Then  $G_1$  satisfies (1), (2), and (3), which implies that  $G_1$  has a Hamilton cycle  $C_1$  containing xy. For a leaf  $l_1$  of T - P, there exists a vertex  $s_{i_1} \in S - \{s_k\}$  such that  $C_1$  passes through the edge  $s_{i_1}l_1$ . If  $v_q$  is adjacent to  $s_k$ , then we make up a Hamilton cycle of G containing the edge xy by replacing  $s_{i_1}l_1$  with the path  $s_{i_1}v_1v_2\cdots v_qs_kl_1$ . Otherwise,  $v_q$  is adjacent to every vertex of  $S - \{s_k\}$ , then we complete a Hamilton cycle of G containing the edge xy by replacing  $s_{i_1}l_1$  with the path  $s_{i_1}v_qv_{q-1}\cdots v_1s_kl_1$ .

(II). Edges sz, where  $s \in S$  and  $z \in T$ .

Here, we only refer to the edge  $s_1z$ , since the other cases resemble it. Pick a pendant path  $P = u_1u_2\cdots u_q$  with  $q \ge 1$ , such that z belongs to T - P. Choose a vertex u (other than  $u_{q-1}$ ) adjacent to  $u_q$  on T.

**Case 1.** u is adjacent to  $s_1$ .

Assume that  $N_S(u) \subseteq \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{k-2}\}$ . Denote then  $G_1 = G - (V(P) \cup \{s_k\})$ . Then  $G_1$  satisfies (1), (2) and (3), which yields that  $G_1$  has a Hamilton cycle  $C_1$  passing through the edge  $s_1z$ . Since T - P contains at least two leaves, there is an edge  $s_{i_1}l_1$  on  $C_1$ , where  $s_{i_1} \in S - \{s_k\}$  and  $l_1$  is a leaf of  $T - (V(P) \cup \{z\})$ . If  $u_q$  is adjacent to  $s_k$ , then we form a Hamilton cycle of G passing through the edge  $s_1z$  by replacing  $s_{i_1}l_1$  with path  $s_{i_1}u_1u_2\cdots u_qs_kl_1$ . Otherwise,  $u_q$  is adjacent to

every vertex of  $S - \{s_k\}$ , then we complete a Hamilton cycle of *G* passing through the edge  $s_1 z$  by replacing  $s_{i_1} l_1$  with the path  $s_{i_1} u_q u_{q-1} \cdots u_1 s_k l_1$ .

**Case 2.** u and  $s_1$  are non-adjacent.

We may assume that  $N_S(u) \subseteq \{s_3, s_4, \ldots, s_k\}$ , as well. Let  $G_2 = G - (V(P) \cup \{s_2\})$ . Then we can find a Hamilton cycle  $C_2$  of  $G_2$  containing the edge  $s_1z$ . Let  $l_2$  be a leaf of  $T - (P \cup \{z\})$ . Then there is a vertex  $s_{i_2} \in S - \{s_2\}$  such that the edge  $s_{i_2}l_2$  lies in  $C_2$ . If  $u_q$ is adjacent to  $s_2$ , then we make up a Hamilton cycle of *G* passing through the edge  $s_1z$  by replacing  $s_{i_2}l_2$  with the path  $s_{i_2}u_1u_2\cdots u_qs_2l_2$ . Otherwise,  $u_q$  is adjacent to every vertex  $s \in S - \{s_2\}$ , then we finish a Hamilton cycle *G* with the edge  $s_1z$  by replacing  $s_{i_2}l_2$  with the path  $s_{i_2}u_qu_{q-1}\cdots u_1s_2l_2$ .

(III). Edge  $s_i s_j$  with  $1 \le i < j \le k$ .

We only refer to the edge  $s_1s_2$ . Choose a pendant path  $P = w_1w_2 \cdots w_q$  with  $q \ge 1$  and a vertex w (other than  $w_{q-1}$ ) adjacent to  $w_q$  on T.

**Case 1.** *w* is adjacent to both  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ .

Without loss of generality, suppose that  $N_S(w) \subseteq \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{k-2}\}$ . Denote  $G_1 = G - (V(P) \cup \{s_k\})$ . Then  $G_1$  has a Hamilton cycle  $C_1$  passing through the edge  $s_1s_2$ . Furthermore there is an edge  $s_{i_1}l_1$  in  $C_1$ , where  $s_{i_1} \in S - \{s_k\}$  and  $l_1$  is a leaf of T - P. If  $w_q$  is adjacent to  $s_k$ , then we complete a Hamilton cycle of G with the edge  $s_1s_2$  by replacing  $s_{i_1}l_1$  with path  $s_{i_1}w_1w_2\cdots w_qs_kl_1$ . Otherwise,  $w_q$  is adjacent to every vertex  $S - \{s_k\}$ , then we complete a Hamilton cycle G with the edge  $s_1s_2$  by replacing  $s_{i_1}l_1$  with path  $s_i, w_qw_{q-1}\cdots w_1s_kl_1$ .

**Case 2.** *w* is adjacent to neither  $s_1$  nor  $s_2$ .

Under this case,  $N_S(w) \subseteq \{s_3, s_4, \dots, s_k\}$ . Note that  $w_q$  is adjacent to at least one of  $\{s_1, s_2\}$ . Suppose that  $w_q$  is adjacent to  $s_1$  and let  $G_2 = G - (V(P) \cup \{s_1, s_2\})$ . By Lemma 4,  $G_2$  has a Hamilton cycle  $C_2$ . Pick a leaf  $l_2$  of T - P. Then there exists a vertex  $s_{i_2} \in S - \{s_1, s_2\}$  such that  $C_2$  passes through the edge  $s_{i_2}l_2$ . Consequently, we complete a Hamilton cycle of G with the edge  $s_1s_2$  by replacing the edge  $s_{i_2}l_2$  with path  $s_{i_2}w_1 \cdots w_qs_1s_2l_2$ .

**Case 3.** *w* is adjacent to only one of  $\{s_1, s_2\}$ .

Assume that *w* is adjacent to  $s_2$  and  $N_S(w) \subseteq \{s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_{k-1}\}$ . Denote  $G_3 = G - (V(P) \cup \{s_k\})$ . Then  $G_3$  has a Hamilton cycle  $C_3$  passing through the edge  $s_2w$ . We replace  $s_2w$  with  $s_2s_1w_1w_2\cdots w_qw$ , forming a Hamilton cycle of *G* with the edge  $s_1s_2$ .

# Proof of Lemma 7

Similarly, we use double induction method on *t* and *T*.

(I). Edge xy on T.

For t = 2, we prove our statement by using induction on |T|. When |T| = 4, we have |S| = 2. As we discussed in Lemma 9, the statement is true. So, assume that  $T = v_1v_2\cdots v_n$ ,  $n \ge 5$  and let  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_i\}$ ,  $2 < i \le n-2$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $v_1 \ne x, y$  and  $N_S(v_2) = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{i-1}\}$ . Define  $G_0 = G - \{v_1, s_i\}$ . Then  $G_0$  satisfies (a), (b), and (c). It follows that there is a Hamilton cycle  $C_0$  of  $G_0$  passing through the edge xy. Further, there exists a vertex  $s_{i_0}$  in  $S - \{s_i\}$  such that the edge  $s_{i_0}v_n$  belongs to  $C_0$ . Replacing the edge  $s_{i_0}v_n$  by the path  $s_{i_0}v_1s_iv_n$  turns into a Hamilton cycle of G containing the edge xy.

For  $t = m \ge 3$ , we prove that by using induction on |T|. If |T| = m + 2, then |S| = m. As we proved in Lemma 9, the statement is true. Let  $|T| = k \ge m + 3$ and  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_i\}, m < i \le k-2$ . We deal with the following cases.

**Case 1.** *T* contains at least two pendant paths consisting of only one vertex.

In this case, we choose a pendant path  $P_1 = u_1$ , such that  $u_1 \neq x, y$ . Let u be a vertex adjacent to  $u_1$ on T. We suppose that  $N_S(u) \subseteq \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{i-2}\}$ . Let  $G_1 = G - \{u_1, s_i\}$ . It is easy to check that  $G_1$  satisfies (a), (b), and (c). By the induction hypothesis on  $t, G_1$ is edge-Hamilton, in other words there is a Hamilton cycle  $C_1$  of  $G_1$  passing through the edge xy. Pick a leaf  $l_1$  of  $T - \{u_1\}$ . Then there exists  $i_1 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, i-1\}$ such that  $C_1$  passes through the edge  $s_{i_1}l_1$ . Replacing the edge  $s_{i_1}l_1$  by path  $s_{i_1}u_1s_il_1$  turns into a Hamilton cycle of G containing the edge xy.

**Case 2.** *T* contains at most one pendant path consisting of only one vertex.

Under this case, we choose a pendant  $P_2 = w_1w_2 \cdots w_q, q \ge 2$  on T such that  $x, y \in T-P$ . Suppose first that  $N_S(w_2) = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{i-1}\}$ . Let now  $G_2 = G - \{w_1, s_i\}$ . By induction hypothesis on |T|, there is a Hamilton cycle  $C_2$  of  $G_2$  passing through the edge xy. Pick a leaf  $l_2$  of  $T - P_2$ . Then there exists  $i_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, i-1\}$  such that  $C_2$  passes through the edge  $s_{i_2}l_2$ . Replacing the edge  $s_{i_2}l_2$  by path  $s_{i_2}w_1s_il_2$  turns into a Hamilton cycle of G containing the edge xy.

(II). Edges sz, where  $s \in S$  and  $z \in T$ .

For t = 2, set  $T = v_1v_2\cdots v_n$ . We only treat the edge  $s_1v_2$ , since we could solve the other cases analogously. As before, we prove our statement by using induction on *n*. When n = 4, we have |S| = 2. According to Lemma 9, the statement is true. Assume that  $n \ge 5$ , let  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_i\}$  with  $2 < i \le n-2$ .

**Case 1.**  $v_3$  is adjacent to  $s_1$ .

Let  $G_1 = G - \{s_1\}$ . By (I),  $G_1$  has a Hamilton cycle  $C_1$  passing through the edge  $v_2v_3$ . We replace the edge  $v_2v_3$  with path  $v_2s_1v_3$ , forming a Hamilton cycle of G with the edge  $s_1v_2$ .

**Case 2.**  $v_3$  is not adjacent to  $s_1$ .

Define  $G_2 = G - \{v_1, v_2, s_1\}$ . Then  $G_2$  satisfies (a), (b), and (c). By induction hypothesis,  $G_2$  has a Hamilton cycle  $C_2$  passing through  $s_2v_n$ . Replacing the edge  $s_2v_n$  by the path  $s_2v_1v_2s_1v_n$  turns into a Hamilton cycle of *G* with the edge  $s_1v_2$ .

Suppose that the result is true for  $t \le m-1$ . For  $t = m \ge 3$ , we prove it by induction on |T| and. Choose a vertex of *S* and a vertex of *T*, say  $s_1$  and z, respectively. If |T| = m + 2, then |S| = m. According to Lemma 9,

the edge  $s_1z$  lies in a Hamilton cycle. Assume that  $|T| = k \ge m+3$  and let  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_i\}$  with  $m < i \le k-2$ .

*T* has at least three pendant paths, we deal with the following cases.

**Case 1.** *T* contains at least two pendant paths consisting of only one vertex.

In this case, we choose a pendant which consist of only one vertex, say  $u_1$ , such that  $u_1 \neq z$ . Let u be a vertex adjacent to  $u_1$  on T, then  $d_T(u) \ge 3$ . Thus, *u* is adjacent to at most i + 1 - 3 = i - 2 vertices of S. If u is adjacent to  $s_1$ , we suppose that  $N_S(u) \subseteq$  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{i-2}\}$ . Let  $G_3 = G - \{u_1, s_i\}$ . It is easy to check that  $G_3$  has a bipartition  $V(G_3) = (T - \{u_1\}) + (S - \{s_i\})$ satisfies (a), (b), and (c). By the induction hypothesis on t,  $G_3$  is edge-Hamilton, in other words  $G_3$  has a Hamilton cycle  $C_3$  containing the edge  $s_1z$ . Pick a leaf  $l_3$  of  $T - \{u_1, z\}$  (T has at least three leaves) such that  $C_3$  passes through  $l_3$ . Since one neighbour of  $l_3$  must belongs to  $(S_1 - \{s_i\})$ . Then there exists  $i_3 \in \{1, 2, \dots, i-1\}$  such that  $C_3$  passes through the edge  $s_{i_3}l_3$ . Replacing the edge  $s_{i_3}l_3$  by the path  $s_{i_3}u_1s_il_3$ turns into a Hamilton cycle of G containing the edge  $s_1z$ . Otherwise, suppose that  $N_S(u) \subseteq \{s_3, \dots, s_i\}$ . Let  $G_3^{'} = G - \{u_1, s_2\}$ . Then  $G_3^{'}$  contains a Hamilton cycle  $C'_3$  passing through  $s_1z$ . Pick a leaf  $l'_3$  of  $T - \{u_1, z\}$ . Then there exists  $i'_{3} \in \{1, \dots, i-1, i\}$  such that  $C'_{3}$  passes through the edge  $s_{i'_2}l'_3$ . Replacing the edge  $s_{i'_2}l'_3$  by path  $s_{i'}u_1s_il'_3$  turns into a Hamilton cycle of G containing the edge  $s_1 z$ .

**Case 2.** *T* contains at most one pendant path consisting of one vertex.

Under this case, *T* contains at least two pendant paths which consists of more than one vertex. We choose a pendant path  $P_2 = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_q$  on *T* such that  $z \in T - P_2$ . If  $w_2$  is adjacent to  $s_1$ , we suppose that  $N_S(w_2) = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{i-1}\}$   $(d_S(w_2) = i + 1 - 2 =$ i-1). Let  $G_4 = G - \{w_1, s_i\}$ , then  $G_4$  has a bipartition  $V(G_4) = (T - \{w_1\}) + (S - \{s_i\})$  satisfies (a), (b), and (c). By induction hypothesis on |T|, there is a Hamilton cycle  $C_4$  of  $G_4$  passing through  $s_1z$ . Pick a leaf  $l_4$  of  $T - (V(P_2) \cup \{z\})$ . Then there exists  $i_4 \in \{1, 2, \dots, i-1\}$ such that  $C_4$  passes through the edge  $s_{i_4}l_4$ . Replacing the edge  $s_{i_4}l_4$  by path  $s_{i_4}w_1s_il_4$  turns into a Hamilton cycle of *G* containing  $s_1z$ . Otherwise, suppose that  $N_S(w_2) = \{s_2, s_3, \dots, s_i\}$ . We consider the following two subcases.

**Subcase 2.1.** There is a vertex s' in  $S - \{s_1\}$ , such that s' is adjacent to z.

Let  $G'_4 = G - \{w_1, s_1\}$ . Then  $G'_4$  has a bipartition  $V(G'_4) = (T - \{w_1\}) + (S - \{s_1\})$  satisfies (a), (b), and (c). By the induction hypothesis on |T|,  $G'_4$  contains a Hamilton cycle  $C'_4$  passing through s'z. We replace s'z by the path  $s'w_1s_1z$ , forming a Hamilton cycle containing  $s_1z$ .

**Subcase 2.2.** z is only adjacent  $s_1$ .

Since every *j*-vertex of *T* is adjacent to at least |S| + 1 - j vertices of *S*,  $d_T(z) \ge |S|$ . Thereby, *T* has at least  $|S| \ge 4$  pendant paths. Under the case, *T* contains at most one pendant path consisting of one vertex, this implies that there is at least tree pendant paths consisting of at least two vertices. Assume that  $P_3 = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_q$ ,  $q \ge 2$  is pendant path of *T*. Then  $|T| \ge 1 + |S| + 1 + 1 + q - 1 \ge |S| + q + 2$ . Thereby,  $|T - P_3| \ge |S|$ . By repeating the procedure of II in Lemma 9, one can get a Hamilton cycle with the edge  $s_1 z$ .

(III). Edges  $s_i s_j$ , where  $s_i, s_j \in S$ .

Here, we only consider  $s_1s_2$ . The proof follows by induction on |S|. If |S| = t, then our statement is true and assume that |S| = k where  $t < k \le |T| - 2$ , let  $G_1 = G - \{s_2\}$ . According to part II,  $G_1$  has a Hamilton cycle with the edge  $s_1l_1$ , where  $l_1$  is a leaf of *T*. Replacing  $s_1l_1$  with  $s_1s_2l_1$  forms a Hamilton cycle of *G* containing the edge  $s_1s_2$ .

# CONCLUSION

In this paper, we discover the new applications of decycling number, that is giving sufficient conditions for a class of graphs to be Hamiltonian, pancyclic and edge-Hamilton, respectively. This opens a new perspective for the study of Hamilton problem. In the proofs, we mainly use the double induction on *t* and |T|. Here, the pendant path plays a huge role. The difficulty in the proof is the construction of edge-Hamilton graphs. In addition, we try to solve the Hamilton problem in graphs with  $\kappa(G) = \nabla(G)$ , the proof is still incomplete.

Let  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k$  be spanning trees in a graph *G*. For any two vertices u, v of *G*, if the paths from *u* to v in these *k* trees are pairwise openly disjoint, then we say that  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k$  are completely independent spanning trees in *G*. By the definition, completely independent spanning trees are also edge-disjoint spanning trees. It is worth mentioning some sufficient conditions for Hamiltonicity also guarantees the existence of completely independent spanning trees [16]. It happened that our conditions is proposed in terms of decycing number. It is our hope that researchers alike will find in this work inspiration and ideas to further light on this fascinating topic, especially, explore a sufficient condition for the existence of completely independent spanning trees.

Acknowledgements: This work is supported in part by Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (No. 22DZ2229014).

### REFERENCES

- 1. Bondy JA, Murty USR (2008) *Graph Theory*, Springer, New York.
- Dirac GA (1952) Some theorems on abstract graphs. Proc London Math Soc s3-2, 69–81.
- Bondy JA (1971) Pancyclic graphs I. J Combin Theory Ser B 11, 80–84.
- Gould RJ (2014) Recent advances on the Hamiltonian problem: Survey III. Graphs and Combinatorics 30, 1–46.
- Su GF, Li ZH, Shi HC (2020) Sufficient conditions for a graph to be *k*-edge-hamiltonian *k*-path-coverable, traceable and Hamilton-connected. *Australas J Combin* 77, 269–284.
- Chvátal V, Erdös P (1972) A note on Hamiltonian circuits. Discrete Math 2, 111–113.
- 7. Lee C, Sudakov B (2012) Dirac's theorem for random graphs. *Rand Struct Alg* **41**, 293–305.
- Frieze A, Krivelevich M (2008) On two Hamiltonian cycle problems in random graphs. *Isr J Math* 166, 221–234.
- 9. Shang Y (2015) On the Hamiltonicity of random bipartite graphs. *Indian J Pure Appl Math* **46**, 163–173.
- 10. Shang Y (2012) Bipancyclic subgraphs in random bipartite graphs. *arXiv*: 1211.6766v2.
- Karp RM (1972) Reducibility among combinatorial problems. In: Miller RE, Thatcher JW, Bohlinger JD (eds) *Complexity of Computer Computations*, The IBM Research Symposia Series, Springer, Boston, pp 85–103.
- Long SD, Ren H (2018) The decycling number and maximum genus of cubic graphs. J Graph Theory 88, 375–384.
- Bau S, Beineke LW (2002) The decycling number of graphs. J Australas Combin 25, 285–298.
- Chvátal V (1973) Tough graphs and Hamiltonian circuit. Discrete Math 5, 215–228.
- Benhocine A, Wojda AP (1987) The Geng-Hua Fan conditions for pancyclic or Hamilton-connected graphs. J Combin Theory Ser B 42, 167–180.
- Li J, Su G, Song G (2021) New comments on "A Hamilton sufficient condition for completely independent spanning tree". *Discrete Appl Math* 305, 10–15.