Genetic investigation of point mutations in sarcomeric genes in Malaysian patients with cardiomyopathy

E-Wei Tan^a, Kek Heng Chua^a, Sherry Usun Jones^a, Lay Koon Tan^b, Alexander Loch^{c,*}, Boon Pin Kee^{a,*}

^a Department of Biomedical Science, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603 Malaysia

^b National Heart Institute, Kuala Lumpur 50400 Malaysia

^c Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603 Malaysia

*Corresponding authors, e-mail: alexanderloch@gmx.de, bpkee@um.edu.my

Received 10 Mar 2022, Accepted 8 Oct 2022 Available online 9 Feb 2023

ABSTRACT: Cardiomyopathy comprises a diverse group of diseases affecting the myocardium. The genetic composition is one of the major disease-defining factors of cardiomyopathies, with globally more than 100 genes implicated in this pathogenesis. Most genetic studies were performed in the Western populations, with only limited data available for the Asian populations. In this study, 152 cardiomyopathy patients (104 dilated cardiomyopathy and 48 hypertrophic cardiomyopathy) were recruited. A total of 20 genetic mutations previously reported in Caucasian populations in *MYBPC3, MYH7, TNNT2* and *TPM1* genes were examined via Tetra Primer Amplification-Refractory Mutation System Polymerase Chain Reaction approach. Of all subjects, only one patient with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy was found as heterozygous carrier of a point mutation (c.1208G>A) in the *MYH7* gene. The remaining 19 mutations were not observed among the cardiomyopathy patients in this study. Our finding suggests a different genetic architecture of the Malaysian cardiomyopathy patients compared to the Caucasian populations. Therefore, a more comprehensive mutation study on the Malaysian cardiomyopathy patients is essential for better illustration of the genetic causes of cardiomyopathy in Malaysia.

KEYWORDS: cardiomyopathy, missense variant, mutation, MYH7, sarcomere

INTRODUCTION

Cardiomyopathy (CMP) can be best translated as "disease of the myocardium". It is defined by the American Heart Association (AHA) as a heterogeneous group of diseases of the myocardium associated with mechanical and/or electrical dysfunction due to a variety of aetiologies that frequently are genetic [1]. In clinical practice, majority cases of diseased heart muscle are ischemic in nature that is caused by coronary artery disease. The term cardiomyopathy is however reserved for patients with diseases of the myocardium other than ischemia. Hence, non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy is commonly employed to describe the assumed nonischaemic aetiology of the disease [2]. The AHA definition emphasizes the exclusion of myocardial diseases secondary to coronary artery disease, systemic hypertension, and valvular and congenital heart disease [1]. Various diagnostic techniques are employed for the diagnostic workup of CMP patients, including 12-lead electrocardiogram, echocardiography, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, coronary imaging and genetic testing [3, 4].

CMP can be classified into various subtypes. The two most common subtypes of CMP are dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) [2]. DCM is characterized by abnormally dilated and thinned cardiac chambers and a reduction in the ejection fraction. Cardiac rhythm disturbances such as atrioventricular block and atrial fibrillation are commonly associated with DCM [4]. The prevalence of DCM is reported as 1 in 2500 individuals [5]. HCM is characterized by cardiac myocyte hypertrophy, with normal or increased ejection fractions. The thickening of cardiac muscles, especially at the left ventricular outflow tract, can impair the blood flow from the left ventricle to the aorta. Complications commonly associated with HCM include arrhythmias and thromboembolic events [3]. The prevalence of HCM is reported as 1 in 500 individuals [6].

Phenotypical DCM can have various causes, including infectious pathogens, drugs, toxins, autoimmune and metabolic disorders, neuromuscular diseases and genetic mutations [4]. Idiopathic DCM, where an exact aetiology cannot be established, constitutes about 50% of DCM cases [7]. On the other hand, familial DCM is assumed to account for about 30% to 48% of all DCM cases, mainly through autosomal dominant transmission. In addition, familial DCM cases were also reported to transmit in X-linked, autosomal recessive and mitochondrial-linked patterns [5].

HCM is typically a monogenic disorder with autosomal dominant inheritance pattern. While autosomal recessive and X-linked HCM cases have been reported, the occurrences are rare. About 60% of HCM cases are caused by mutations in sarcomeric genes, whereas other causes such as mitochondrial dysfunction, neuromuscular factors and drugs account for 10% of the cases [3].

There are more than 20 causative genes reported for both DCM and HCM [5]. These genes can be categorized into two major categories: cytoskeletal and sarcomeric [4,5]. Cytoskeletal genes are crucial in cardiomyocytes for coordination and signalling, converting mechanical signals into chemical signals (mechano-chemical signal transduction), and regulating transcription and cell differentiation [8]. Cytoskeletal genes that were reported to be highly associated with CMP occurrence include dystrophin (*DMD*), desmin (*DES*), sarcoglycan delta (*SGCD*), lamin A/C (*LMNA*) and vinculin (*VCL*) [4, 5, 8].

Sarcomeres are contractile structures present in cardiomyocytes, consisting of various components such as actin, myosin and z-disc proteins that allow contraction and relaxation of the heart [9]. prominent CMP-associated sarcomeric genes include titin (TTN), myosin heavy chain 7 (MYH7), myosin binding protein C3 (MYBPC3), tropomyosin 1 (TPM1) and troponin T2, cardiac type (TNNT2). Sarcomeric mutations play a role in both DCM and HCM by affecting the contraction of cardiomyocytes and the structure of the ventricles [10]. In DCM, mutations in sarcomeric genes result in reduced contractility of cardiomyocytes, which lead to increased ventricular volumes and reduced cardiac output. In contrast, sarcomeric mutations in HCM predominantly impair relaxation of cardiomyocytes through inhibition of actin-myosin interactions [9]. Various genetic studies have been conducted to examine the spectrum of CMPassociated sarcomeric mutations in different populations [11, 12]. The common sarcomeric mutations reported are MYBPC3 c.1504C>T, MYH7 c.1750G>C, *TNNT2* c.281G>A and *TPM1* c.574G>A [11].

While CMP mutations were studied extensively in the West, there are limited studies reporting CMP mutations in Asia, and none has been reported for the Malaysian population [13, 14]. In the present study, a total of 20 CMP-associated sarcomeric genetic mutations identified in a previous study were examined to report the prevalence of these sarcomeric mutations in the Malaysian CMP patients [11].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study cohort

A total of 152 clinically confirmed non-ischemic CMP patients were recruited between 2015 and 2018 from two medical centres, Universiti Malaya Medical Centre and the National Heart Institute of Malaysia. DCM patients were included in the study, if the ejection fraction was equal or less than 35% and the following causes for a reduced ejection fraction were ruled out: (a) tachycardia induced CMP, (b) peripartum CMP, (c) ischaemic CMP in the presence of significant coronary artery disease or a history of ischemic events, (d) toxin-induced CMP, (e) ethanol induced CMP, (f) hypertension induced CMP, (g) CMP due to valvular or congenital heart disease [15]. A diagnosis of HCM was accepted when patients fulfilled the AHA

echocardiographic criteria for HCM in the absence of severe uncontrolled hypertension or aortic valve diseases [16]. The study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Universiti Malaya Medical Centre (MECID. NO: 20152-1016) and Ethics Committee of the National Heart Institute of Malaysia [IJNEC NO: IJNEC/08/2015(3)]. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The demographic and clinical details of patients, including clinical symptoms, comorbidities, imaging results (echocardiography, cardiac MRI and coronary angiography), family history, and treatment were collected.

Blood collection and genomic DNA extraction

Venous blood was collected from the patients and a conventional phenol-chloroform extraction method was employed to extract genomic DNA from the whole blood samples [17]. The concentration and purity of the extracted DNA was determined with a Nanophotometer (Implen, Germany). The extracted genomic DNA was diluted and stored at -20 °C until use for the genetic study.

Tetra-primer amplification refractory mutation system polymerase chain reaction (T-ARMS PCR) genotyping assays

A total of 20 most dominant genetic mutations in four CMP-associated sarcomeric genes (*MYH7*, *MYBPC3*, *TPM1* and *TNNT2*) were identified from a previous publication conducted in a predominantly Caucasian population (Table 1). The selected mutations comprised of 13 pathogenic mutations, three likely pathogenic mutations and four mutations with uncertain significance based on Laboratory for Molecular Medicine Classification Criteria [11]. These mutations were examined in the Malaysian CMP patient cohort by individually designed T-ARMS PCR assays [18].

Batch Primer3 and Primer1 were used for primer design and the specificity of the primers was checked by Primer-Blast [19-21]. A total of 20 sets of primers were designed for the selected mutation points in four different sarcomeric genes: MYH7, MYBPC3, TPM1, and TNNT2 (Table S1). The sizes of the internal control fragment ranged from 209 bp to 970 bp. The T-ARMS PCR assays were performed in a total volume of 10 µl containing 5 µl of 2X MyTaq[™] PCR Master Mix (Bioline, UK), 0.2 µM of each inner and outer primers and 50 ng of template DNA. Thermocycling was performed in a Mastercycler Gradient Thermal Cycler (Eppendorf, Germany) by using a three-step PCR program as followed: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 65 °C (60 °C for rs199476315 and rs397516037) for 1 min, extension at 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. T-ARMS PCR product of each reaction was electrophoresed on 1.5%

	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	თ	4	ω	2	ц				No	Tabi
A HCM – N =	rs199476315	rs121964857	rs397516037	rs373746463	rs193922380	rs387907267	rs397515982	rs375882485	rs200411226	rs397516083	rs145532615	rs121913631	rs3218716	rs121913630	rs121913641	rs371898076	rs121913627	rs121913626	rs121913625	rs121913624			(dbSNP)	rs number	le 1 Compari
3267 (c.927-9G>	c.574G>A	c.832C>T	c.3697C>T	c.3330+5G>C	c.2870C>G	c.2827C>T	c.2670G>A	c.1504C>T	c.1484G>A	c.927–9G>A	c.2945T>C	c.2722C>G	c.2389G>A	c.2167C>T	c.2156G>A	c.1988G>A	c.1816G>A	c.1750G>C	c.1357C>T	c.1208G>A				cDNA change	son of mutation fi
A; c.1484G>A; c.1504C>T	Tropomyosin 1	Troponin T2, Cardiac Type	Myosin-Binding Protein C3	Myosin Heavy Chain 7				Gene name	requency between Laborato																
; c.2670G>	TPM1	TNNT2	MYBPC3	MYH7			symbol	Gene	ory of Mole																
>A; c.2827C>T; c.	Likely Pathogenic	Unknown	Pathogenic	Pathogenic	Unknown	Pathogenic	Pathogenic	Pathogenic	Unknown	Likely Pathogenic	Unknown	Pathogenic	Pathogenic	Pathogenic	Pathogenic	Pathogenic	Pathogenic	Likely Pathogenic	Pathogenic	Pathogenic			significance	Clinical	ecular Medicine, O
2870C>G;	Missense	Missense	Nonsense	Intronic	Missense	Nonsense	Nonsense	Missense	Missense	Intronic	Missense			impact	Change	xford Mole									
c.3330+5G>	13(0.4)	16(0.5)	9(0.3)	10(0.3)	9(0.3)	7(0.2)	7(0.2)	45(1.5)	10(0.3)	25(0.9)	11(0.4)	16(0.5)	12(0.4)	9(0.3)	11(0.4)	20(0.7)	9(0.3)	22(0.8)	13(0.4)	11(0.4)	n (%) [11]	(N = 2912)	HCM,	Laboratory o Medicine, Bo	cular Genetics
C; c.3697C>	0(0.0)	1(0.1)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(0.1)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(0.1)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	n (%) [31]	(N = 756)	DCM,	f Molecular oston, USA	s Laboratory
>T); <i>N</i> = 319	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	4(0.1)	6(0.2)	0(0.0)	11(0.3)	0(0.0)	59(1.8)	4(0.1)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	5(0.2)	24(0.8)	4(0.1)	1(0.03)	17(0.5)	13(0.4)	0(0.0)	10(0.3)	4(0.1)	n (%)	(N = 3200)	HCM ^a ,	Oxford Mole Laboratory, O	and the Mala
1 (c.832C>T);	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	n (%)	(N = 559)	DCM,	cular Genetics xford, UK [32]	aysian CMP col
N = 1535	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(2.1)	n (%)	(N = 48)	HCM,	Malaysian in the pr	nort.
(c.574G>A).	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	n (%)	(N = 104)	DCM,	CMP cohort esent study	

^b DCM – N = 405 (c.927-9G>A; c.1484G>A; c.1504C>T; c.2670G>A; c.2827C>T; c.2870C>G; c.3330+5G>C; c.3697C>T); N = 498 (c.832C>T); N = 355 (c.574G>A).

(w/v) agarose gels stained with SafeView[™] FireRed (Applied Biological Materials, Canada). Visualization of the amplified bands was performed in a UV transilluminator and gel images were captured with a CCD compact gel documentation system (Major Science, USA). The presence of the mutation was determined based on the electrophoretic patterns.

Mutant construction using modified combined overlap extension polymerase chain reaction (COE-PCR)

A modified COE-PCR was employed to generate mutant constructs that served as the positive typing controls for each of the designed T-ARMS assay [22]. A total of four primers were designed for each COE-PCR, two gene-specific primers were designed using Primer-Blast while two mutagenic primers were designed using Primer-X[19]. Primer-dimer and hairpin formation of the primers were validated by OligoAnalyzer tool (Table S2) [23].

The modified COE-PCR consisted of two primary PCR reactions and a secondary PCR reaction. The primary PCR reaction for each primer pair (one gene-specific outer primer and one mutagenic primer either as gene-specific forward-mutagenic reverse combination or a mutagenic forward-gene specific reverse combination) were carried out separately. PCR was performed in a total volume of 10 µl containing 5 µl of 2X MyTaq[™] PCR Master Mix (Bioline), 0.2 µM of gene-specific primer, 0.2 µM of mutagenic primer and 50 ng of template DNA. Thermocycling of the primary reactions was performed at the same conditions as T-ARMS PCR. The amplified product was visualized on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel and purified prior to the secondary PCR reaction.

The primary PCR products for each mutant were mixed at 1:1 ratio and served as the template for the secondary PCR reaction. PCR was performed in a total volume of 40 µl containing 20 µl of 2X MyTaqTM PCR Master Mix (Bioline), 0.2 µM of gene specific primers and 2 µl of primary PCR mixed template DNA. The full-length mutagenic DNA construct was excised and gel purified with QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Sanger sequencing was performed to confirm the mutagenic state of the DNA constructs.

Genotype validation with Sanger sequencing

The genotyping results of T-ARMS PCR assays were validated by DNA-resequencing approach. Representative samples were selected and amplified by gene-specific primer pairs used in COE-PCR that flank the respective mutation points prior to Sanger sequencing [19]. PCR amplification was performed in a total volume of 50 µl containing 25 µl of 2X MyTaqTM PCR Master Mix (Bioline), 0.2 µM of each outer primer and 250 ng of template DNA in a thermal cycler. PCR products were

Table 2 Demographic details of cardiomyopathy patients in Malaysia (N = 152).

Clinical parameter	DCM (<i>N</i> = 104)	HCM (<i>N</i> = 48)
Age at diagnosis (years)	49±16	54 ± 16
Gender, n (%)		
Male	76 (73.1%)	28 (58.3%)
Female	28 (26.9%)	20 (41.7%)
Ethnicity, n (%)		
Malay	53 (51.0%)	18 (37.5%)
Chinese	37 (35.6%)	19 (39.6%)
Indian	10 (9.6%)	8 (16.7%)
Others	4 (3.8%)	3 (6.3%)

purified using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The purified PCR products were subjected to Sanger sequencing by outer primers (either forward or reverse). The DNA sequences were aligned and compared with reference gene sequences using Sequencher version 5.3 (Gene Codes Corporation, USA). The state of the mutation was identified based on electropherogram and compared to the results by T-ARMS PCR assays.

Statistical analysis

Data tabulation and descriptive statistics calculations (mean and standard deviation) were performed using commercial software (Microsoft Excel, USA). G*Power software (version 3.1.9.7) was used to perform a priori analysis (sample size calculation) and post-hoc analysis (statistical power calculation) [24]. The following conditions were used for a priori analysis: 2-tailed test, d (effect size) = 0.05, α (significant level) = 0.05 and statistical power = 0.8. For post-hoc analysis, the following conditions were used: 2-tailed test, d (effect size) = 0.5, α (significant level) = 0.05, sample size group 1 (CMP samples) = 152.

RESULTS

Demographic and echocardiographic characteristics of CMP patients

The demographic and echocardiographic details of 152 CMP patients were collected and tabulated for the two major subtypes of CMP: 104 cases of DCM and 48 cases of HCM (Table 2 and Table 3). The mean age at diagnosis for HCM patients was slightly higher when compared to DCM patients (54 ± 16 years vs. 49 ± 16 years). Male gender predominated in both DCM and HCM groups (68.4% of overall cases; 73.1% DCM and 58.3% HCM). For ethnicities, Malay ethnicity had the highest number of cases in both overall and DCM (46.7% overall; 51.0% DCM and 37.5% HCM). Chinese ethnicity ranked the second highest overall and highest HCM cases (36.8% overall; 35.6% DCM and 39.6% HCM). While Indian ethnicity ranked the third highest overall cases (11.8% overall;

Table 3 Echocardiographic details of cardiomyopathy patients in Malaysia (N = 122).

Echocardiographic parameter [†]	DCM (N = 88)	HCM (N = 34)
IVSd (mm±SD)	9.8 ± 2.4	17.1 ± 4.9
LVPWd (mm \pm SD)	9.5 ± 1.9	12.7 ± 3.9
LVIDd (mm±SD)	64.0 ± 8.0	44.8 ± 11.5
LVIDs $(mm \pm SD)$	60.4 ± 45.8	27.2 ± 12.9
LAD $(mm \pm SD)$	43.1 ± 8.6	41.0 ± 8.3
LVEF ($\% \pm SD$)	24.9 ± 11.1	67.9 ± 15.8

[†] IVSd, interventricular septal diameter at end-diastole; IVPWd, left ventricular posterior wall thickness at enddiastole; IVIDd, left ventricular internal diameter at enddiastole; IVIDs, left ventricular internal diameter at endsystole; LAD, left atrial diameter; IVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.



Fig. 1 Electrophoretic patterns of T-ARMS PCR assay for *MYH7* c.1208G>A on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel. M: 100 bp plus DNA marker (Thermo Fisher Scientific); Lane 1: homozygous G; Lane 2: heterozygous G/A; Lane 3: homozygous A; Lane 4: Non-template control (NTC).

9.6% DCM and 16.7% HCM) followed by others (4.6% overall; 3.8% DCM and 6.3% HCM). In keeping with the echocardiographic phenotype, HCM patients had higher Interventricular Septal Wall thickness at end-Diastole (IVSD), Left Ventricular Posterior Wall thickness at end-Diastole (IVPWd) and Ejection Fractions (EF) (67.9%). DCM patients had higher Left Ventricular Internal Diameter at end-Diastole and at end-Systole (LVIDd and LVIDs), larger left atrial size (LAD) and lower EF (24.9%).

T-ARMS PCR genotyping and confirmation with PCR-resequencing

Out of the 20 mutation points examined, only one mutation point (*MYH7* c.1208G>A) was observed in one HCM patient (HC19) in our cohort, who was a heterozygous carrier of the mutation (Fig. 1). All other



Fig. 2 Electropherograms of Sanger sequencing for the validation of *MYH7* c.1208G>A typing results. The position of *MYH7* c.1208 is indicated by the box. (a) wild type (homozygous G); (b) Mutant construct (homozygous A); (c) HC19 patient (heterozygous G/A carrier).

typed mutation points were homozygous wild type for all CMP patients. Mutant DNAs were constructed for each T-ARMS PCR genotyping assay using COE-PCR with a new set of outer and mutagenic primers (Table S2). The constructed mutants served as a homozygous mutant control for each genotyping assay, confirming the specificity of the mutant-specific primer in the genotyping assay. The genotyping result by T-ARMS PCR assays was consistent with the observation on electropherograms via Sanger sequencing, including the MYH7 c.1208G>A mutation (Fig. 2). The selected representative samples with homozygous wild-type genotype showed a single peak for G signal on the electropherograms. On the other hand, the electropherogram of the mutant carrier exhibited a mixed peak of G and A signals while the mutant construct showed a single peak of A signal.

Case presentation of *MYH7* c.1208G>A mutant carrier

The heterozygous MYH7 c.1208G>A mutation carrier (HC19) identified in the present study was first admitted to Universiti Malaya Medical Centre in 2011 at the age of 52 years. He was diagnosed with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with left ventricular outflow tract obstruction (HOCM) and was clinically in New York Heart Association Class 3. There was absence of family history of sudden cardiac death for the case. He was in atrial fibrillation. The echocardiogram demonstrated severe concentric left ventricular hypertrophy with an ejection fraction of 58%. Multiple treatments had been prescribed to him during the disease course, which included beta blockers, spironolactone, and loop diuretics. In addition, he had recurrent hospitalizations for decompensated heart failure. An implantable cardioverter/defibrillator (ICD) was implanted as the patient had documented episodes of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia. The patient passed away in 2018 due to cardiogenic shock and multiorgan dysfunction.

Sample size and statistical power

A priori analysis was performed prior to the genotyping study to determine the ideal sample size with medium effect size (d = 0.05). Based on the calculated results, a total of 64 CMP subjects were needed to achieve 0.8 statistical power. The post-hoc analysis revealed that the current sample size (N = 152) had a statistical power of 0.9914.

DISCUSSION

CMP genetics are diverse, whereby more than 100 genes have been reported in causing various types of CMP, including DCM and HCM [25]. MYH7, MYBPC3, TPM1 and TNNT2 genes are a group of genes involved in the formation of sarcomeres in cardiomyocytes, which is the basic unit of force production in striated muscles, especially cardiac muscle cells. There are three major components in a sarcomere, i.e., thick filament (A-band), thin filament (I-band) and Z-discs (Z-band). MYH7 and MYBPC3 are involved in the formation of myosin (thick filament) while TPM1 and TNNT2 are instrumental in the formation of actin (thin filament) [26]. The MYH7 gene has been extensively reported as one of the CMP-causing genes, especially in HCM, accounting for 14% of all cases [27]. Located on chromosome 14 and comprising of 40 exons, *MYH7* produces cardiac β -myosin heavy chain (β -MHC), which consists of intrinsic ATPase that generates energy for contracting sarcomeres [28]. MYBPC3 mutations are mainly present in HCM, comprising 24% of all cases [27]. The gene is located on chromosome 11 and consists of 37 exons. MYBPC3 encodes a crucial regulatory protein (cMyBP-C) that controls the interaction of the myosin head domain with the surface of thick filament, actin and titin through molecular tethering [28]. The TPM1 gene is located on chromosome 15 and consists of 15 exons. The TPM1 proteins regulate the stability of actin and the concentration of Ca²⁺ when performing muscle contraction together with TNNT2 [29]. TNNT2 is located on chromosome 1 and consists of 17 exons. Similar to the function of TPM1, TNNT2 is a component of the troponin complex that permits actin-myosin interaction and regulates the concentration of Ca^{2+} in sarcomere contraction [30].

A total of 20 most dominant mutations (reported > 7 times) in a predominantly Caucasian cohort (Caucasian = 62%; African American = 7%; Asian = 6%) were selected for investigation in this study [11]. These mutations present within *MYH7*, *MYBPC3*, *TNNT2* and *TPM1* genes, which form the contractile structures present within cardiomyocytes. These genes are prominent sarcomeric genes that are associated to CMP development and manifestation, in which 60% of HCM cases and 10% of DCM cases, respectively, were reported to carry mutations in these

genes. Among the selected mutation points, 18 were present in the exonic region and two mutation points were present in the intronic region. All the mutation points were genotyped by T-ARMS assays for the Malaysian CMP subjects.

In the present study, only one heterozygous mutation carrier (MYH7 c.1208G>A) was observed among the 152 Malaysian CMP patients, constituting 0.7% of the overall cohort or 2.1% of the HCM cohort. In comparison to the combined mutation frequency data of 7340 CMP patients obtained from the Laboratory of Molecular Medicine (LMM) and Oxford Molecular Genetics Laboratory (OMGL) (Table 1), the MYH7 c.1208G>A mutation point had a lower frequency in LMM HCM cohort (0.4%) and the OMGL HCM cohort (0.1%) [11, 31]. The frequencies of the other 19 mutation points in the reference HCM cohorts ranged between 0.2% to 1.5% in the LMM HCM cohort and from 0% to 1.8% in the OMGL HCM cohort. A total of seven mutation points (MYH7 c.1750G>C and c.2945T>C, MYBPC3 c.927-9G>A, c.2670G>A and c.2870C>G, TNNT2 c.832C>T and TPM1 c.574G>A) were not found in the OMGL HCM cohort, which is consistent with the absence of those mutation points in the Malaysian cohort. The study at hand suggests that Malaysian CMP patients may have different causal mutations when compared to Caucasian populations due to unique genetic architectures within each population. Apart from that, these mutation points were found predominantly in HCM cases compared to DCM cases. None of the mutations was observed among the OMGL DCM cohort and only three mutation points (MYH7 c.2945T>C, MYBPC3 c.2870C>G and TNNT2 c.832C>T) were found among the LMM DCM cohort [31, 32]. This might be due to the fact that sarcomeric mutations are the main factor for HCM manifestations, being responsible for 40% to 60% of all HCM cases. As for DCM, the manifestation are genetically more heterogenous, whereby sarcomeric mutations only accounted for 10% of all DCM cases [33].

Despite limited CMP mutation data in the Asian cohort compared to the Caucasian cohort, several previous studies have highlighted the presence of these sarcomeric mutation points in Asian cohorts. In a Chinese cohort, five MYH7 gene mutations (c.1357C>T, c.1750G>C, c.1816G>A, c.1988G>A and c.2389G>A) were observed among 102 HCM patients [34]. MYH7 c.1988G>A was reported with the highest frequency (3.9%) in the Chinese cohort. In a Vietnamese study, two MYH7 gene mutations (c.1750G>C and c.2156G>A) and two MYBPC3 gene mutations (c. 1484G>A and c.1504C>T) were observed in 104 HCM patients [35]. MYH7 c.2156G>A and MYBPC3 c.1504C>T were each observed in three Vietnamese patients, constituting 2.9% of the cohort. On the other hand, MYH7 c.1357C>T and MYBPC3 c.2870C>G were each observed in one Japanese

HCM patient, which constituted 0.8% among the 112 Japanese patients [13].

There is a plethora of mutations present within the CMP-associated genes. About 300 mutations in the MYH7 gene have been reported in CMP patients [28, 36]. Generally, MYH7 gene mutations affect energy production in cardiac cells. They are associated with increased ventricular wall thickness, earlyonset, and more severe phenotypes, a poorer prognosis and a higher rate of premature death [37]. The MYH7 c.1208G>A is a G to A missense mutation in exon 13 that results in the substitution of arginine to glutamine (R403Q). A functional study reported that c.1208G>A reduces actin motility in a rabbit model resulting in diminished kinetics, forces and output of myofibrils [38]. However, this mutation point in a mouse model resulted in "gain of function" in α -cardiac myosin, with improved kinetics of actin filaments [39]. This may be due to different types of myosin heavy chain isoform. Generally, humans mostly express βcardiac myosin, which results in impaired sarcomeric function in the presence of R403Q mutation of the MYH7 gene. In addition, it is reported that R403Q mutations may affect the stability of interacting heads motif (IHM) in myosin and increasing the number of myosin heads, causing hypercontractility in HCM patients [40]. Further functional studies are needed to elucidate the signalling pathways of the MYH7 gene, particularly in the development of CMP.

CONCLUSION

Among the 20 mutation points studied, only one mutation carrier (*MYH7* c.1208G>A) was identified in a HCM patient among a Malaysian CMP patient cohort. However, the findings of this study might be limited by the low minor allele frequencies (< 0.1%) of the studied mutation points. Therefore, a more comprehensive mutation study on the Malaysian CMP patients should be conducted with a larger sample size with an equal subject proportion of different ethnicities to illustrate the mutational landscape of CMP in Malaysia.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.2306/scienceasia1513-1874. 2023.008.

Acknowledgements: This research was supported by UMSC CA.R.E. Research Grant (PV025-2017), Frontier Research Fund 2017 (FG014/17AFR), and Faculty Research Fund 2018 (GPF005C-2018), Faculty of Medicine, Universiti of Malaya.

REFERENCES

1. Maron BJ (2008) The 2006 American Heart Association classification of cardiomyopathies is the gold standard. *Circ Heart Fail* **1**, 72–75.

- 2. Wexler RK, Elton T, Pleister A, Feldman D (2009) Cardiomyopathy: an overview. *Am Fam Physician* **79**, 778–784.
- 3. Marian AJ, Braunwald E (2017) Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: genetics, pathogenesis, clinical manifestations, diagnosis, and therapy. *Circ Res* **121**, 749–770.
- Schultheiss HP, Fairweather D, Caforio ALP, Escher F, Hershberger RE, Lipshultz SE, Liu PP, Matsumori A, et al (2019) Dilated cardiomyopathy. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 5, 32.
- 5. Jefferies JL, Towbin JA (2010) Dilated cardiomyopathy. *Lancet* **375**, 752–762.
- McKenna WJ, Maron BJ, Thiene G (2017) Classification, epidemiology, and global burden of cardiomyopathies. *Circ Res* 121, 722–730.
- Hazebroek M, Dennert R, Heymans S (2012) Idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy: possible triggers and treatment strategies. *Neth Heart J* 20, 332–335.
- Tsikitis M, Galata Z, Mavroidis M, Psarras S, Capetanaki Y (2018) Intermediate filaments in cardiomyopathy. *Biophys Rev* 10, 1007–1031.
- 9. Garfinkel AC, Seidman JG, Seidman CE (2018) Genetic pathogenesis of hypertrophic and dilated cardiomyopathy. *Heart Fail Clin* **14**, 139–146.
- Yotti R, Seidman CE, Seidman JG (2019) Advances in the genetic basis and pathogenesis of sarcomere cardiomyopathies. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 20, 129–153.
- 11. Alfares AA, Kelly MA, McDermott G, Funke BH, Lebo MS, Baxter SB, Shen J, McLaughlin HM, et al (2015) Results of clinical genetic testing of 2912 probands with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: expanded panels offer limited additional sensitivity. *Genet Med* **17**, 880–888.
- Dalin MG, Engstrom PG, Ivarsson EG, Unneberg P, Light S, Schaufelberger M, Gilljam T, Andersson B, et al (2017) Massive parallel sequencing questions the pathogenic role of missense variants in dilated cardiomyopathy. *Int J Cardiol* 228, 742–748.
- 13. Otsuka H, Arimura T, Abe T, Kawai H, Aizawa Y, Kubo T, Kitaoka H, Nakamura H, et al (2012) Prevalence and distribution of sarcomeric gene mutations in Japanese patients with familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circ J* **76**, 453–461.
- Liu W, Liu W, Hu D, Zhu T, Ma Z, Yang J, Xie W, Li C, et al (2013) Mutation spectrum in a large cohort of unrelated Chinese patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* **112**, 585–589.
- Japp AG, Gulati A, Cook SA, Cowie MR, Prasad SK (2016) The diagnosis and evaluation of dilated cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 67, 2996–3010.
- 16. Gersh BJ, Maron BJ, Bonow RO, Dearani JA, Fifer MA, Link MS, Naidu SS, Nishimura RA, et al (2011) 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 58, e212–e260.
- Chua KH, Ng JG, Ng CC, Hilmi I, Goh KL, Kee BP (2016) Association of NOD1, CXCL16, STAT6 and TLR4 gene polymorphisms with Malaysian patients with Crohn's disease. PeerJ 4, e1843.
- Medrano RF, de Oliveira CA (2014) Guidelines for the tetra-primer ARMS-PCR technique development. *Mol Biotechnol* 56, 599–608.

- 19. Ye J, Coulouris G, Zaretskaya I, Cutcutache I, Rozen S, Madden TL (2012) Primer-BLAST: a tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction. *BMC Bioinform* **13**, 134.
- Collins AK, Ke X (2012) Primer1: primer design web service for tetra-primer ARMS-PCR. Open Bioinform J 6, 55–58.
- You FM, Huo N, Gu YQ, Luo MC, Ma Y, Hane D, Lazo GR, Dvorak J, et al (2008) Batch Primer3: a high throughput web application for PCR and sequencing primer design. *BMC Bioinform* 9, 253.
- 22. Hussain H, Chong NF (2016) Combined overlap extension PCR method for improved site directed mutagenesis. *Biomed Res Int* **2016**, 8041532.
- 23. Owczarzy R, Tataurov AV, Wu Y, Manthey JA, McQuisten KA, Almabrazi HG, Pedersen KF, Lin Y, et al (2008) IDT SciTools: a suite for analysis and design of nucleic acid oligomers. *Nucleic Acids Res* **36**, W163–W169.
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A (2007) G*Power
 a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behav Res Methods* 39, 175–191.
- McNally EM, Barefield DY, Puckelwartz MJ (2015) The genetic landscape of cardiomyopathy and its role in heart failure. *Cell Metab* 21, 174–182.
- 26. Thompson BR, Asp ML, Metzger JM (2017) Molecular mechanism of sarcomeric cardiomyopathies. In: Garry DJ, Wilson RF, Vlodaver Z (eds) *Congestive Heart Failure* and Cardiac Transplantation: Clinical, Pathology, Imaging and Molecular Profiles, Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 151–160.
- 27. Sedaghat-Hamedani F, Kayvanpour E, Tugrul OF, Lai A, Amr A, Haas J, Proctor T, Ehlermann P, et al (2018) Clinical outcomes associated with sarcomere mutations in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a meta-analysis on 7675 individuals. *Clin Res Cardiol* **107**, 30–41.
- Kraker J, Viswanathan SK, Knoll R, Sadayappan S (2016) Recent advances in the molecular genetics of familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in South Asian descendants. *Front Physiol* 7, 499.
- 29. England J, Granados-Riveron J, Polo-Parada L, Kuriakose D, Moore C, Brook JD, Rutland CS, Setchfield K, et al (2017) Tropomyosin 1: multiple roles in the developing heart and in the formation of congenital heart defects. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* **106**, 1–13.
- 30. Ahmad F, Banerjee SK, Lage ML, Huang XN, Smith SH, Saba S, Rager J, Conner DA, et al (2008) The role of cardiac troponin T quantity and function in cardiac

development and dilated cardiomyopathy. *PLoS One* 3, e2642.

- 31. Pugh TJ, Kelly MA, Gowrisankar S, Hynes E, Seidman MA, Baxter SM, Bowser M, Harrison B, et al (2014) The landscape of genetic variation in dilated cardiomyopathy as surveyed by clinical DNA sequencing. *Genet Med* **16**, 601–608.
- 32. Walsh R, Thomson KL, Ware JS, Funke BH, Woodley J, McGuire KJ, Mazzarotto F, Blair E, et al (2017) Reassessment of Mendelian gene pathogenicity using 7855 cardiomyopathy cases and 60,706 reference samples. *Genet Med* **19**, 192–203.
- Mestroni L, Brun F, Spezzacatene A, Sinagra G, Taylor MR (2014) Genetic causes of dilated cardiomyopathy. *Prog Pediatr Cardiol* 37, 13–18.
- 34. Zou Y, Wang J, Liu X, Wang Y, Chen Y, Sun K, Gao S, Zhang C, et al (2013) Multiple gene mutations, not the type of mutation, are the modifier of left ventricle hypertrophy in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Mol Biol Rep* **40**, 3969–3976.
- 35. Tran Vu MT, Nguyen TV, Huynh NV, Nguyen Thai HT, Pham Nguyen V, Ho Huynh TD (2019) Presence of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy related gene mutations and clinical manifestations in Vietnamese patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circ J* 83, 1908–1916.
- 36. Wang J, Wan K, Sun J, Li W, Liu H, Han Y, Chen Y (2018) Phenotypic diversity identified by cardiac magnetic resonance in a large hypertrophic cardiomyopathy family with a single MYH7 mutation. *Sci Rep* 8, 973.
- 37. Liu HT, Ji FF, Wei L, Zuo AJ, Gao YX, Qi L, Jin B, Wang JG, et al (2019) Screening of MYH7 gene mutation sites in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and its significance. *Chin Med J* 132, 2835–2841.
- Lowey S, Bretton V, Joel PB, Trybus KM, Gulick J, Robbins J, Kalganov A, Cornachione AS, et al (2018) Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy R403Q mutation in rabbit betamyosin reduces contractile function at the molecular and myofibrillar levels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 115, 11238–11243.
- 39. Lowey S, Lesko LM, Rovner AS, Hodges AR, White SL, Low RB, Rincon M, Gulick J, et al (2008) Functional effects of the hypertrophic cardiomyopathy R403Q mutation are different in an alpha- or beta-myosin heavy chain backbone. *J Biol Chem* **283**, 20579–20589.
- 40. Sarkar SS, Trivedi DV, Morck MM, Adhikari AS, Pasha SN, Ruppel KM, Spudich JA (2020) The hypertrophic cardiomyopathy mutations R403Q and R663H increase the number of myosin heads available to interact with actin. *Sci Adv* 6, eaax0069.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Table S1	Г-ARMS PCR	primers for th	e genotyping	of 20 selected	sarcomeric mutation	points.
----------	------------	----------------	--------------	----------------	---------------------	---------

Set	Gene	cDNA change	Primer	Primer sequence $(5' \text{ to } 3')$	T _m (°C	Fragment size
1	MYH7	c.1208G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele A) Inner Reverse (Allele G)	TGTCAAGTCATGGAGGGGCCATGTCTGC TCCCAACTCACATCGAAGATCTCGAAGCC AAGGGGCTGTGCCACCCGCA TGGTGACGTACTCATTGCCCACTTTCAACC	64 63 68 64	Common Fragment: 803 bp Allele G: 498 bp Allele A: 355 bp
2	MYH7	c.1357C>T	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele T) Inner Reverse (Allele C)	GCCAAGGCAGTGTATGAGAGGATGT CCACAGCTGGCTCTAAGCAAATAGC ACCCTGGAGACCAAGCAGCAAT TGTCCAGGACTCCTATGAAGTACTGTCG	61 60 61 61	Common Fragment: 209 bp Allele T: 156 bp Allele C: 103 bp
3	MYH7	c.1750G>C	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele G) Inner Reverse (Allele A)	GGGGAATATAAAAATAGAAGGGGCTGA CAGCCAGCCAATGATGTTGTAGTC ACCCAACATGGCACCTCCACTAG TGGATTCTGCTCTATGGTCAATATACTGGT	57 58 61 59	Common Fragment: 725 bp Allele A: 471 bp Allele G: 307 bp
4	MYH7	c.1816G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele A) Inner Reverse (Allele G)	GACACTCAGTGATGCTCTCTCCTGCTTC ACCTTGGGGTACAACCTGACATTGAAGT CAAGGATCCTCTCAATGAGACTGGCA TTGAGGGAAGACTTCTGATACAAGCCAAC	62 62 60 60	Common Fragment: 453 bp Allele A: 209 bp Allele G: 299 bp
5	MYH7	c.1988G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele G) Inner Reverse (Allele A)	GGACCATTTTCACTCTGTCTTCTCTTCCC GGGGGATTAAGTGGTTCTGCAGGTAAA TCTGAACAAGCTGATGACCAACTTTCG AAAGTGGGGATGGGTGGCGT	60 60 59 62	Common Fragment: 657 bp Allele G: 398 bp Allele A: 306 bp
6	MYH7	c.2156G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele T) Inner Reverse (Allele C)	TTCCTGCTATCAATGAACTGTCCCT TGGCTACCACCTATGATAATAGGTGTGA GCATCCTCTACGGGGACTTACG GCACCCTCATACCCACCTCTTCT	58 59 59 61	Common Fragment: 531 bp Allele C: 230 bp Allele T: 346 bp
7	MYH7	c.2167C>T	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele C) Inner Reverse (Allele T)	GCAGAGGTGGGTATGAGGGTGCACCAGA TCTCCTTTCCTCACCTTGGTGTGGCCAA TGACTCTGGACACTTCCCTCCTCAGGTCTC GGGATGGCCGCTGGGTTCAGGATTCA	67 65 65 67	Common Fragment: 289 bp Allele C: 164 bp Allele T: 181 bp
8	MYH7	c.2389G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele C) Inner Reverse (Allele T)	TGAAGGCCCGAATGTTCCACTGG CGTACCCCTCCCTAGTCATGGCCAA TTCCAGCAGCTTTTTGTACTCCATTCTTGC CCAGTCCCGAGGTGTGCGCA	62 64 61 65	Common Fragment: 478 bp Allele C: 176 bp Allele T: 352 bp
9	MYH7	c.2722C>G	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele G) Inner Reverse (Allele C)	GTGCCTCTCCTTCCCTCTACCTGCAAGA CTGCCCTTACCTTGTTCTCT GTTGCGTG AGATGCTGAGGAGCGCTGTGATCTGG GGCCTCCAGCTGAATCTTGTTTTTGATGAG	65 63 64 61	Common Fragment: 330 bp Allele G: 236 bp Allele C: 149 bp
10	MYH7	c.2945T>C	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele T) Inner Reverse (Allele C)	AAGACAACCTGGCAGATGCTGAG GGCACAGATAGACATGGCATATCTAGG GCAGGTGAAAAACCTGACAGAGGATAT ATGATCTCATCCAGCCCAGACG	59 58 59 59	Common Fragment: 650 bp Allele C: 466 bp Allele T: 233 bp
11	MYBPC3	3 c.927–9G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele A) Inner Reverse (Allele G)	GTGGCTACAGCTCCTTGGTC CTATGCCCTCTCCTCTG GGCCACAGCCTAGACTTCA GCTTCGAGTCCCTGTGTACC	58 56 57 58	Common Fragment: 329 bp Allele G: 102 bp Allele A: 265 bp
12	MYBPC3	3 c. 1484G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele A) Inner Reverse (Allele G)	GTGTCCGCAGCTTTCCTGCCACTTCC TGCTCCCCTACAGGGCTAGGTGGGGT GGCTGAAGGACGGGGTGGAGCTGACACA TCTTGAACCGGTATTTGAAGGTCTCCTACC	66 69 69 61	Common Fragment: 692 bp Allele G: 500 bp Allele A: 250 bp
13	MYBPC3	3 c.1504C>T	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele G) Inner Reverse (Allele A)	CCTGCTCCCCTACAGGGCTAGGT CTGGGACCTGAGGATGTGGGAA TCTGCCCGTCCTTCTTGAAACG CCGGGAGGAGACCTTCAAATCCT	64 61 60 61	Common Fragment: 520 bp Allele G: 339 bp Allele A: 226 bp

Table S1 (Continued ...)

Set	Gene	cDNA change	Primer	Primer sequence $(5' \text{ to } 3')$	T _m (°C	Fragment size
14	МҮВРСЗ	c.2670G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele G) Inner Reverse (Allele A)	TTCCTTAAAGTGGCCAAAAGCCAGCTGA CCATCGCGCCTGGCCTTAAATATGTTT ACACCACGGTCTCCCTCAAGGGG CCACGCGCTCTGGGGGGCAGT	62 62 65 68	Common Fragment: 535 bp Allele G: 356 bp Allele A: 222 bp
15	MYBPC3	c.2827C>T	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele T) Inner Reverse (Allele C)	GGTGACACAGCCTGTGGCCTTGCCTCC TGCCTGGCCACCCTCTCTGCACTTTTTCC ACGGGGGCCCGGCTGCTTTGCT CTGCCATATTGTGTGCCCCGCACGCG	69 67 71 67	Common Fragment: 399 bp Allele C: 289 bp Allele T: 157 bp
16	MYBPC3	c.2870C>G	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele C) Inner Reverse (Allele G)	AGTGGGCAGTGGGAGTGGGGTGTCAGTG CAACCCCTCCTGTCTCTGCCCAGCGTTC CAATATGGCAGGGCCTGGAGCCCCTGTAAC CTGCACTGTCACCGGCTCCGTGGAGC	69 68 67 69	Common Fragment: 370 bp Allele C:198 bp Allele G:228 bp
17	МҮВРС3	c.3330+5G>C	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele G) Inner Reverse (Allele C)	CCCCGGCCTCAGGTGACCTGG GCCCCAGCCCCTGGTTGGAAGAAT GCAGAAAGCCGACAAGAAGACCATGGTCAG GGGGACCCCAGACCCTGCGG	67 66 64 68	Common Fragment: 970 bp Allele G: 443 bp Allele C: 577 bp
18	МҮВРС3	c.3697C>T	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele C) Inner Reverse (Allele T)	GCATAGTCAGGGACTCTCGT GAGGACAACGGAGCAAAG CCTGGACCTGGGAGAATAC TCTCCAGAGTCAACACTCCATA	56 54 54 55	Common Fragment:364 bp Allele C: 218 bp Allele T: 186 bp
19	TNNT2	c.832C>T	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele G) Inner Reverse (Allele A)	CAGGGAACACTCTGTCCCCCTC CTTCAGCCCACAGGTTTCCTTCTC CGGTGACTTTAGCCTTCCCTCG TTCCCCTGCAGCTCCAAGAACT	61 60 60 61	Common Fragment: 759 bp Allele T: 516 bp Allele C: 287 bp
20	TPM1	c.574G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Inner Forward (Allele G) Inner Reverse (Allele A)	CAGTGTTCCTGGAAAACCTAAACAT TATCCACTTGGCACTTGGTTTAAAT CGAAAACATTAGCAAATGTGACG TCACAGTTTTCAATTCTTCTTCAAGATT	56 55 53 54	Common Fragment: 469 bp Allele G: 314 bp Allele A: 206 bp

Set	Gene	cDNA change	Primer	Primer sequence (5' to 3')	T _m (°C)	Fragment size (bp)
1	MYH7	c.1208G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	AAGTTCAAGCTGAAGCAGCG CCTTCCCATGTCTGGTCCAC CTGTGCCACCCTC <u>a</u> GGTGAAAGTGGG CCCACTTTCACC <u>t</u> GAGGGTGGCACAG	56 58 65 65	971
2	MYH7	c.1357C>T	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	CCTACCTCATGGGGCTGAAC TCGATGAGGTCAATGCAGGC GAGACCAAGCAGCCAtGCCAGTACTTCATAG CTATGAAGTACTGGCaTGGCTGCTTGGTCTC	58 58 63 63	829
3	MYH7	c.1750G>C	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	GGGGAATATAAAAATAGAAGGGGCTGA GAAGTGGTGGGGGTGTAGCAA GATCCACTATGC <u>c</u> CGCATCGTGGACTAC GTAGTCCACGATGC <u>g</u> GGCATAGTGGATC	57 57 64 64	918
4	MYH7	c.1816G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	TGCATGATGACCTCCCACAC TGTGGCTGCCTCAGTTTCTT CTCAATGAGACTGTCaTGGGCTTGTATCAG CTGATACAAGCCCAtGACAGTCTCATTGAG	57 57 60 60	847
5	MYH7	c.1988G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	GGCTCGTCCTTTCAGACTGT TGGGGGATTAAGTGGTTCTGC GATGACCAACTTGCaCTCCACCCATCCC GGGATGGGTGGAGtGCAAGTTGGTCATC	57 57 65 65	814
6	MYH7	c.2156G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	ATGTTCCACTGGGAGGGGTA ACCTCATCAGTGGCCCTACA CATACCCACCTCTGCtGGAAGTCCCCGTAG CTACGGGGACTTCCaGCAGAGGTGGGTATG	58 58 66 66	991
7	MYH7	c.2167C>T	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	CTTCTTGCCACAGGGGTGAT ACCTACGTTCCAGCAGCTTT CCTCCTCAGGTATLGCATCCTGAACCC GGGTTCAGGATGCaATACCTGAGGAGG	58 57 62 62	815
8	MYH7	c.2389G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	GCGTGTGAACTCCTTCCA CAAGTTTGGCCACACCAAGG GTACTCCATTCTGGtGAGCACACCTCGG CCGAGGTGTGCTCaCCAGAATGGAGTAC	57 57 64 64	840
9	MYH7	c.2722C>G	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	AAGGGCAGAGGGAAAAGAGC TCACCTGCCGACCAAGAATC GAGCGCTGTGATCAGgTGATCAAAAACAAG CTTGTTTTTGATCAcCTGATCACAGCGCCTC	58 57 61 61	887
10	MYH7	c.2945T>C	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	TGCCTCTCCTTCCCTCTACC ACATCCTCTAACCCTACCCCC GAAAAACCTGACAGAGGAGACGGCTGGGCTG	58 58 68 68	828
11	MYBPC3	c.927–9G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	AGGTGAGTGCCCAACATAGC GCCAGGCTCTTTTCTTTGCC CACAGCCTAGACTGCaGGACACAGGGACTC GAGTCCCTGTGTCCtGCAGTCTAGGCTGTG	57 57 66 66	892
12	MYBPC3	c. 1484G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	GGATTATCATGGGGCGCACT GGTCACCTCAGCATCGTCAT GTGGAGCTGACCC <u>a</u> GGAGGAGACCTTC GAAGGTCTCCTCC <u>t</u> GGGTCAGCTCCAC	58 57 65 65	817
13	MYBPC3	c.1504C>T	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	CCATGTTTGCCTGTGATGGT TTTGAGTCCATCGGTGCCAA CCTTCTTGAACCaGTATTTGAAGGTC GACCTTCAAATACtGGTTCAAGAAGG	56 57 56 56	838
14	MYBPC3	c.2670G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	TTCCTTAAAGTGGCCAAAAGCCAGCTGA CCATCGCGCCTGGCCTTAAATATGTTT CTCCCTCAAGTGaCGGCCCCCAGAG CTCTGGGGGCCCGtCACTTGAGGGAG	62 62 67 67	535

Table S2 Primers for DNA mutant construction using COE-PCR.

Table S2 (Continued ...)

Set	Gene	cDNA change	Primer	Primer sequence $(5' \text{ to } 3')$	T _m (°C)	Fragment size (bp)
15	MYBPC3	c.2827C>T	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	GGTGACACAGCCTGTGGCCTTGCCTCC TGCCTGGCCACCCTCTCTGCACTTTTTCC GGCTGCTTTTCtGAGTGCGGGCAC GTGCCCGCACTCaGAAAAGCAGCC	69 67 65 65	399
16	MYBPC3	c.2870C>G	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	GATTCCTATCAGAGGAGTGGGC CATGGACGATGGCTCCAACC GAGCCCCTGTTAgCACCACGGAGC GCTCCGTGGTG <u>c</u> TAACAGGGGCTC	57 59 66 66	400
17	MYBPC3	c.3330+5G>C	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	GTGGACTGATGTCTCAGGGC TGGCCGCTCTGTCACTAAAG GAAGACCATGGTGAcCCCAGGGTCTGGG CCCAGACCCTGGGgTCACCATGGTCTTC	58 57 67 67	1012
18	MYBPC3	c.3697C>T	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	GACGTTGAGCAGTCCTCTCC CGGTTGTACCTGCAACACAG GCATGTTCAGCAAGtAGGGAGTGTTGACTC GAGTCAACACTCCCT <u>a</u> CTTGCTGAACATGC	58 56 62 62	802
19	TNNT2	c.832C>T	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	CTGGCCTTCCTTCCTTCCTC CTTCCTCCTCTGCTTCAGCC CTTTAGCCTTCCCGCaGGTCTTGGAGCTGC GCAGCTCCAAGACCtGCGGGAAGGCTAAAG	58 58 67 67	901
20	TPM1	c.574G>A	Outer Forward Outer Reverse Mutagenic Forward Mutagenic Reverse	ACTGATGGCTCGTGTGGTTT CAAAGAACTCGCCAGCTCAG GCAAATGTGCC <u>a</u> AGCTTGAAGAAG CTTCTTCAAGCT <u>t</u> GGCACATTTGC	57 56 58 58	876

^a Bolded, underlined and small-lettered nucleotide in the mutagenic primer's sequence indicates mutagenic point for the COE-PCR reaction.

Table S3 Comparison of mutation frequency of 20 selected mutation points between ethnicity and CMP subtypes (DCM andHCM).

		DCM (N = 104)						HCM ($N = 48$)					
No	rs number (dbSNP)	Gene	Gene name symbol	Malay (n=53) (%)	Chinese (n=37) (%)	Indian (n=10) (%)	Other (n=4) (%)	Malay (n=18) (%)	Chinese (n=19) (%)	Indian (n=8) (%)	Other (n=3) (%)		
1	rs121913624	Myosin Heavy Chain 7	MYH7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0		
2	rs121913625	Myosin Heavy Chain 7	MYH7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
3	rs121913626	Myosin Heavy Chain 7	MYH7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
4	rs121913627	Myosin Heavy Chain 7	MYH7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
5	rs371898076	Myosin Heavy Chain 7	MYH7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
6	rs121913641	Myosin Heavy Chain 7	MYH7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
7	rs121913630	Myosin Heavy Chain 7	MYH7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
8	rs3218716	Myosin Heavy Chain 7	MYH7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
9	rs121913631	Myosin Heavy Chain 7	MYH7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
10	rs145532615	Myosin Heavy Chain 7	MYH7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
11	rs397516083	Myosin-Binding Protein C3	MYBPC3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
12	rs200411226	Myosin-Binding Protein C3	MYBPC3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
13	rs375882485	Myosin-Binding Protein C3	MYBPC3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
14	rs397515982	Myosin-Binding Protein C3	MYBPC3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
15	rs387907267	Myosin-Binding Protein C3	MYBPC3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
16	rs193922380	Myosin-Binding Protein C3	MYBPC3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
17	rs373746463	Myosin-Binding Protein C3	MYBPC3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
18	rs397516037	Myosin-Binding Protein C3	MYBPC3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
19	rs121964857	Troponin T2, Cardiac Type	TNNT2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
20	rs199476315	Tropomyosin 1	TPM1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		