Diversity, antimicrobial activity, and susceptibility of culturable soil actinobacteria isolated from Sichang Island

Wongsakorn Phongsopitanun^{a,*}, Paranee Sripreechasak^b, Ek Sangvichien^c, Somboon Tanasupawat^a

- ^a Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330 Thailand
- ^b Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Science, Burapha University, Chonburi 20131 Thailand
- ^c Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ramkhamhaeng University, Bangkok 10240 Thailand

*Corresponding author, e-mail: Wongsakorn.p@chula.ac.th

Received 16 Apr 2021 Accepted 26 Jul 2021

ABSTRACT: *Actinobacteria* are a promising source of novel antibiotics. The study of diverse actinobacteria from Sichang Island may lead to a discovery of new microbes with pharmaceutical applications. Some actinobacteria, especially those in the genus *Nocardia*, can cause infection in humans and animals. A total of 55 actinobacteria were isolated from six soil samples collected from Sichang Island, Chonburi Province, Thailand. Based on the morphological characteristics and 16S rRNA gene analysis, the actinobacterial isolates were classified into three genera including *Streptomyces* (32 isolates), *Nocardia* (22 isolates), and *Saccharothrix* (1 isolate). Most of the *Streptomyces* and the *Saccharothrix* isolates exhibited antimicrobial activity, with none observed among the *Nocardia* strains. The 16S rRNA gene similarity suggested three strains represented candidates of novel taxa. Moreover, most of the *Nocardia* were rare environmental species. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed that amoxicillin/clavulanate (2/1), imipenem, and linezolid were active against *Nocardia* strains, but they were not susceptible to ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, clarithromycin, ciprofloxacin, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. The susceptibility profiles vary between strains and species.

KEYWORDS: actinobacteria, antimicrobial activity, Nocardia, antimicrobial susceptibility, Sichang Island

INTRODUCTION

Natural products with pharmaceutical applications can be generated by primary and secondary metabolism of living things [1]. Microorganisms, especially actinobacteria, are the primary source of bioactive natural products [2]. The phylum Actinobacteria consisting of Gram-positive high guanine+cytosine (G+C) filamentous bacteria is one of the most diverse bacterial groups. Actinobacteria have diverse morphologies ranging from the unicellular rods or cocci to filamentous mycelia [3]. The microbes are widely distributed both on land and in aquatic environments. On land, the soil is the main habitat of most actinobacteria. One gram of soil can contain 10⁶ to 10⁹ CFU of actinobacteria [4]. Some actinobacteria are symbiotic with other creatures such as marine sponges and corals as well as plants and lichens [5,6]. Different habitats affect the metabolic diversity of the microbes, leading to the production of various types of secondary metabolites.

Actinobacteria, especially genus Streptomyces,

are an essential source for antibiotic discovery [7]. Their chemically bioactive secondary metabolites are diverse. To date, some 12 000 bioactive compounds have been produced by actinobacteria, and two-thirds of the known antibiotics are produced by members of the genus *Streptomyces*. *Actinobacteria* also produce many anticancer and antifungal compounds, antiviral agents, antiparasitics, insecticides, herbicides, immunosuppressants, and therapeutic enzymes [8].

Most actinobacteria are producers of valuable bioactive compounds; however, some actinobacterial genera, especially *Nocardia* species, can cause a disease called "nocardiosis" in patients with cellmediated immunosuppressive conditions as well as in immunocompetent patients [9]. Some actinobacterial species, such as *Actinomadura madurae* and *Streptomyces somaliensis*, also cause mycetoma, a chronic granulomatous infection, presenting as subcutaneous tissue swelling of the affected area, nodule formation, and drainage through sinus tracts [10]. Consequently, susceptibility testing of these actinobacterial pathogens will assist in disease treatment.

Sichang Island is the smallest district of Thailand and located in the Gulf of Thailand. The island is 12 km from the mainland of Chonburi Province and consists mainly of rocks, mountains, and crags. Soil from the island was expected to contain promising antibiotic producers and novel actinobacterial taxa.

This study focused on the isolation and antimicrobial activities screening of actinobacteria in soil samples collected from Sichang Island, Chonburi Province, Thailand. The antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Nocardia* isolates were also determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection and isolation of actinobacteria

Soil samples were collected from six locations on Sichang Island, Chonburi Province, Thailand during the month of February 2017 (Fig. S1). The samples were preserved at 4°C before transporting to the laboratory. Actinobacteria were isolated following the standard serial dilution methods using humic acid vitamin (HV) agar supplemented with nalidixic acid (50 μ g/ml) and cycloheximide $(25 \,\mu g/ml)$ [11]. The isolate plates were incubated at 30 °C for 14 days. Colonies of actinobacteria were observed under light microscope and selected for further purification on yeast extract-malt extract (ISP2) agar (yeast extract 4 g, malt extract 10 g, glucose 4 g, pH 7.0-7.2, added water up to 1 l). Pure cultures of actinobacteria were maintained on ISP2 agar at 30 °C.

16S rRNA gene and phylogenetic analyses

Actinobacterial DNA was extracted from mycelia, obtained from the culture grown in yeast-dextrose broth at 30 °C for 4-7 days, using a DNA extraction kit (Purelink™). The 16S rRNA gene amplification was carried out using primers 20F (5'-GAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') and 1500R (5'-GTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') [12]. The PCR products were purified using a Gel/PCR kit (Geneaid). Nucleotide sequencing of the PCR products was carried out using universal primers [13] (Macrogen, Seoul, Korea). BLASTN analysis of the 16S rRNA sequences was performed according to the EzBioCloud webpage (https://www.ezbiocloud. Sequences of all the actinobacterial net) [14]. isolates were aligned with selected sequences obtained from the GenBank/EMBLDDBJ database using BioEdit (Ibis Biosciences). A phylogenetic tree based on maximum likelihood was constructed using MEGA 7.0 [15] with all gaps eliminated before the calculation. The confidence values of tree nodes were evaluated using the bootstrap resampling method based on 1000 replications [16].

Antimicrobial screening

A cross-streak method was used to perform antimicrobial activity screening [17]. The actinobacteria were streaked on one side of the ISP2 agar plates and incubated at 30 °C for 14 days. Then, the tested microorganisms: *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC 6633, *Kocuria rhizophila* ATCC 4341, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, and *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231 were inoculated on the plates by a single streak perpendicular to the actinobacteria and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Finally, the inhibition area was recorded.

Antimicrobial susceptibility of Nocardia isolates

Susceptibility testing was performed by the Etest method using Ezy MIC^{mmax} strips (Himedia) on Mueller-Hinton (MH) agar plates inoculated by swabbing method. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) and resistance breakpoint were determined according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) criteria (M24-A2) [18]. All *Nocardia* isolates obtained were tested with ten antibiotics: amikacin, amoxicillin/clavulanate (2/1), ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, ciprofloxacin, clarithromycin, imipenem, linezolid, minocycline, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. The MIC values were recorded after incubating the plates at 30 °C for 3 days.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identification and antimicrobial activity

Six rocky soil samples were collected from Sichang Island. Density of culturable actinobacteria in the soil samples ranged from 5×10^5 to 1×10^6 CFU/g, and 55 actinobacteria were isolated (Table 1). These bacteria were classified into three genus groups: *Streptomyces, Nocardia,* and *Saccharothrix* based on morphological characteristics and 16S rRNA gene analysis. The phylogenetic tree confirmed the classification into these three genera (Figs. 1 and 2).

Group I comprised 32 isolates (Fig. 1). These bacteria produced long-branching filamentous mycelia on the agar. Spiral chains and rectiflexibile type of spore chains were observed on the aerial



0.020

Fig. 1 Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship between actinobacterial isolates in group I and related actinobacterial species. *Bacillus subtilis* DSM10^T. was used as the outgroup.



Fig. 2 Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship of the actinobacterial isolates in group II, group III, and related type strains. *Bacillus subtilis* DSM10^T was used as the outgroup.

mycelia. The representative isolate, SC095, produced a white to light gray aerial mass that could be differentiated from the spiral spore chain (Fig. S2). This spiral chain resembled characteristics of the genus *Streptomyces*. Based on the 16S rRNA gene analysis, these bacteria represented the highest similarity to those of the genus *Streptomyces*. Furthermore, members in this group were classified into 25 different closely related species (Table S1) of which 100% sequence similarity was observed with the 4 species:

S. enissocaesilis, S. globosus, S. levis, and S. rameus.

Group II consisted of 22 isolates (Fig. 2). These bacteria produced white to pale cream aerial mycelia and pale orange substrate mycelia. Based on microscopic observation, branching filaments and fragmented zigzag conformation were observed on the aerial mycelia and substrate mycelia, respectively. The 22 strains did not produce any soluble pigment in the agar. The representative strain of this group, SC052, was also observed showing yellowish white aerial mass and grayish

676

ScienceAsia 47 (2021)

Table 1 Number of actinobacterial isolates.

Sample no.	Isolate no.	Number of isolates
1	SC001, SC008, SC053, SC049, SC052, SC009, SC097, SC083, SC085, SC002, SC094, SC113, SC006, SC107, SC095, SC058	16
2	SCXX, SC141, SC081, SC079, SC145, SC074	6
3	SC060, SC076, SC152, SC155 SC069	5
4	SC138, SC139, SC025, SC033, SC109, SC122, SC119, SC038, SC026, SC098, SC108, SC127, SC028, SC112, SC030	15
5	SC142, SC164, SC178, SC179, SC180, SC196	6
6	SC169, SC203, SC205, SC189, SC193, SC191, SC201	7
	Total number of isolates	55

yellow substrate mycelia with fragmented substrate mycelia (Fig. S2). These characteristics were similar to members of the genus *Nocardia*. Based on the BLAST result of the 16S rRNA gene, actinobacteria in group 1 showed highest similarity with members of the genus *Nocardia*. These data were used to classify the actinobacteria in this group into six different closely related species as *Nocardia amamiensis*, *Nocardia bhayanarayanae*, *Nocardia lijiangensis*, *Nocardia neocaledoniensis*, *Nocardia xestospongiae* and *Nocardia xishaensis* (Table S1). Among these, isolates related to *N. bhayanarayanae* were the most frequent and five out of the six soil samples contained *N. bhayanarayanae* (Table 1).

Group III contained one isolate as SC076. This strain produced white aerial mycelia and paleyellow green substrate mycelia. No pigment was observed on ISP3 agar. SC076 showed the closest 16S rRNA gene similarity (98.58%) to *Saccharothrix australiensis* DSM 43800^T.

In 2014, Kim et al suggested that a value of 98.65% 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity could be used as the threshold for differentiating two bacterial species [19]. In this study, three isolates including SC095, SC076, and SC052 showed 16S rRNA gene similarity lower than 98.65%, indicating that these three strains were candidates of novel actinobacterial taxa.

All the Nocardia isolates showed no antimi-

crobial activity against the tested microorganisms, while the 22 isolates of Streptomyces did. Besides, 21 Streptomyces isolates inhibited Gram-positive bacteria; but only three and seven isolates inhibited Gram-negative bacteria and yeast, respectively. Members of the Streptomyces genus that showed antimicrobial activity included S. coerulescens SC097, S. djakartensis SC205, S. enissocaesilis SC119, S. globosus SC201, S. hawaiiensis SC069, S. iakyrus SC203, S. indiaensis SC083, S. levis strains SC094 and SC098, S. longispororuber strains SC108 and SC113, S. luteogriseus SC189, S. neyagawaensis SC127, S. parvulus strains SC145 and SC196, S. purpurascens SC193, S. spinoverrucosus strains SC074 and SC191, S. spiralis SC112, S. viridochromogenes SC107, and S. viridosporus SC095. Interestingly, one candidate as the novel Saccharothrix SC076 showed potent antimicrobial activity against K. rhizophila, B. subtilis, S. aureus, E. coli, and C. albicans. Results in Table S1 indicated that strain SC076 showed broad-spectrum activity.

The Streptomyces genus is the largest antibiotic producer. However, since the 1970s, a number of antimicrobial compounds reported annually from this genus have substantially declined. Streptomyces is a common soil microorganism, and its isolation from soil over several decades leads to continued reisolation of the same species. Consequently, obtaining novel compounds is difficult, and results often yield previously known compounds [20]. To solve this problem, several studies suggested that unexplored habitats offered promising sources of novel antibiotic producer. In 2017, Yun et al observed the diversity of soil actinobacteria collected from Ulleung Island, Korea. This Island was expected to yield unique microorganisms. They isolated 34 actinobacteria comprising Streptomyces (16 isolates), Isoptericola (5 isolates), Rhodococcus (4 isolates), Agromyces (3 isolates), Micrococcus (2 isolates), Arthrobacter (1 isolate), Williamsia (1 isolate), Microbacterium (1 isolate), and Oerskovia (1 isolate). Based on the phylogenetic tree, some of these actinobacteria represented candidates for novel species [21]. Later, in 2019, Sottorff et al analysed soil samples collected from Easter Island, Chile, and the samples comprised many novel candidate actinobacteria. A total of 163 actinobacterial isolates with 72 different phylotypes and 20 genera were found. The most abundant genera were Micromonospora, Streptomyces, Salinispora, and Dietzia. Interestingly, 45% of the actinobacteria from Easter Island showed a high degree of novelty as possible new taxa [22]. The results supported the assumption that island soil harbors new actinobacterial species that might show a promise for further drug development.

Antimicrobial susceptibility of Nocardia isolates

The antimicrobial susceptibility of six closely related species of Nocardia including N. amamiensis (n = 4), N. bhagyanarayanae (n = 11), N. neocaledoniensis (n = 1), N. xestospongiae (n = 1), N. lijiangensis (n = 1), and N. xishanensis (n = 1)were determined using Etest. All isolates were found susceptible to imipenem and linezolid. The antimicrobial susceptibility profiles revealed that N. amamiensis was not susceptible to ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, and cefotaxime. Most strains of this species were resistant to clarithromycin (50%) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (75%) and susceptible to amikacin, minocycline, imipenem, linezolid, and amoxicillin/clavulanate (2/1). N. bhagyanarayanae was the most prevalent species obtained from Sichang Island. The results indicated that more than 90% of N. bhagyanarayanae was not susceptible to clarithromycin, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, ciprofloxacin, and trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole but susceptible to amikacin, linezolid and imipenem; while 27% were resistant to amikacin, and 73% showed intermediate resistant to minocycline.

Individual isolate of *N. neocaledoniensis*, *N. lijiangensis*, and *N. xishanensis* was obtained in this study. These strains were susceptible to amikacin, minocycline, imipenem, linezolid, and amoxycillin/clavulanate (2/1); except *N. xishanensis* (n = 1) that showed intermediate resistance to amoxycillin/clavulanate (2/1) (Table 2).

Strain SC052 showed a 97.8% similarity of the 16S rRNA gene. This strain should be a candidate of novel taxa. It was susceptible to amikacin, minocycline, imipenem, linezolid, and amoxicillin/clavulanate; but resistant to ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (Table 2).

Nocardiosis is a common opportunistic infection found in immunocompromised patients. In 2005, Mootsikapun et al presented a review of nocardiosis cases from 1996 to 2001 in Srinagarind Hospital, Thailand. Data from 70 cases revealed that 80% of patients were male with mean age of 39.7 ± 14.9 years. The common diagnosis was a pleuropulmonary infection, followed by skin and soft tissue infection. In their study, 57.9% of the *Nocardia* isolates were resistant to trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole [23]. Valdezate et al reported the antimicrobial susceptibility of Nocardia species Most Nocardia strains were isolated in Spain. from respiratory tract. They identified N. cyriacigeorgica, N. nova, N. abscessus, N. farcinica, N. carnea, N. brasiliensis, N. otitidiscaviarum, N. flavorosea, N. rhamnosiphila, and N. transvalensis as active species against linezolid and amikacin [24]. In 2019, Lebeaux et al (in France) analyzed 793 Nocardia isolates collected between 2010 and 2015. These Nocardia were mainly isolated from lungs and comprised N. farcinica, N. abcessus complex, and N. nova complex. Active antibiotics against these species were linezolid, amikacin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, minocycline, and imipenem. N. farcinica showed a high rate (73%) of resistance to cefotaxime, while approximately 5% of N. cyriacigeorgica and N. abscessus were resistant to cefotaxime [25].

N. bhagyanarayanae and N. amamiensis were the most frequent species found on Sichang Island. Infection caused by N. amamiensis is rare, with only two ocular and two pulmonary infections previously reported [26, 27]. In 2016, Martinez-Gamboa et al reported pulmonary infection caused by N. amamiensis in Mexico. The strain was susceptible to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, imipenem, and amikacin [27]. Reddy et al reported two strains of N. amamiensis isolated from ocular infection [26]. Both strains were susceptible to tobramycin and amikacin but not susceptible to azithromycin and clarithromycin, while one isolate was not susceptible to gatifloxacin and ciprofloxacin. One strain of N. bhagyanarayanae was also reported for Nocardia keratitis [28].

N. neocaledoniensis is an uncommon cause of human infection and rarely documented for skin, soft tissue, and ocular infection [26, 29]. In 2020, the first fatal bacteremia case due to *N. neocaledoniensis* was reported [30], while an outbreak of *N. neocaledoniensis* mastitis in an Italian dairy herd was reported in 2008 [31]. At the time of reporting the present study, there has been no case report for both *N. lijiangensis* and *N. xishanensis* infections.

CONCLUSION

The most frequent taxa found as culturable soil actinobacteria of Sichang Island comprised the genera *Streptomyces* and *Nocardia*. Most *Streptomyces* strains showed antimicrobial activity. Three isolates from this study were identified as candidates of novel taxa. This number suggested that soil from the island was a promising source of novel acti-

Top-hit taxon based on	Isolate no.))		MIC (µg/m]) (susceptibility	(/			
16S rRNA gene sequences		CLA	CIP	AMK	MIN	IMI	CTX	LZD	AMC	CTR	TMP/SMX
N. amamiensis	SC138 SC139 SC142 SCXX	6 3 >256 (R) >256 (R)	>256 (R) >256 (R) 8 (R) >256 (R)	3 (S) 1 (S) 1 (S) 1 (S) 2 (S)	0.5 (S) 0.5 (S) 0.5 (S) 0.75 (S)	0.016 (S) 0.006 (S) 0.016 (S) 0.012 (S)	>256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R)	1 (S) 1.5 (S) 2 (S) 1.5 (S)	4 (S) 6 (S) 7 (S)	>256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R)	0.19 (S) >32 (R) >32 (R) >32 (R)
N. bhagyanarayanae	SC001 SC008 SC008 SC025 SC033 SC053 SC053 SC053 SC122 SC172 SC178 SC178 SC178	>256 (R) >256 (R) 6 6 8 (R) >256 (R) 8 (R) 0.38 (S) >256 (R) 3 (S) >256 (R) >256 (R)	4 8 8 8 2 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	$ \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ $	0.5 (S) 2 (I) 2 (I) 2 (I) 0.5 (S) 0.5 (S) 2 (I) 2 (I)	0.125 (S) 0.032 (S) 0.125 (S) 0.125 (S) 0.125 (S) 0.125 (S) 0.047 (S) 0.047 (S) 0.094 (S) 0.094 (S)	>256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R)	2 (S) 6 (S) 8 (S) 8 (S) 11.5 (S) 11.5 (S) 11.5 (S) 11.5 (S) 11.5 (S) 11.5 (S)	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ (S) \\ 0.25 \ (S) \\ 1.5 \ (S) \\ 0.75 \ (S) \\ 0.75 \ (S) \\ 0.094 \ (S) \\ 0.25 \ (S) \\ 0.25 \ (S) \\ 0.75 \ (S) \\ 0.5 \ (S) \\ 1 \ (S) \end{array}$	>256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R) >256 (R)	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
N. lijiangensis	SC058	>256 (R)	>256 (R)	8 (S)	1 (S)	0.094 (S)	>256 (R)	1.5 (S)	0.38 (S)	>256 (R)	>32 (R)
N. neocaledoniensis	SC049	>256 (R)	8 (R)	3 (S)	1 (S)	0.047 (S)	64 (R)	0.75 (S)	2 (S)	16 (I)	2 (S)
N. xestospongiae	SC052	9	2 (R)	3 (S)	2 (S)	0.38 (S)	>256 (R)	2 (S)	0.75 (S)	>256 (R)	>32 (R)
N. xishanensis	SC009	0.19 (S)	4 (R)	2 (S)	0.50 (S)	0.032 (S)	>256 (R)	2 (S)	16 (I)	>256 (R)	>32 (R)
Breakpoint (μg/ml) ^a	Susceptible (S) Intermediate (I) Resistant (R)	¥ 4 ∦ 8	≤1 ≥4	≤8 _ ≥16	≤1 ≥_4 ≥8	≼4 8 ≥16	≤8 13–32 ≥64	8 । ।	≼8/4 16/8 ≥32/16	≼8 16–32 ≽64	≤2/38 ≥4/76
" TO CV VCM LIVOD	tomototivo oritorio		CID	- cinucflo	worin. AMK	- amilantine	ATIN - MIN	IMI .outlow	- iminonomi	17D – linozo	- VIV - Pil

Ш linezolid; AMC Ш UZT 1m1penem; II minocycline; IMI Ш "2011 M24-AZ CLSI interpretative criteria. CLA = clarithromycin; CLP = ciprotioxacin; AMK = amikacin; MIN amoxycillin/clavulanate (2/1); CTR = ceftriaxone; CTX = cefotaxime; and TMP/SMX = trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

ScienceAsia 47 (2021)

www.scienceasia.org

nobacteria. Many *Nocardia* species were isolated in this study, but these species are rarely reported as causing infection in humans. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing indicated that most *Nocardia* strains were susceptible to amoxicillin/clavulanate, imipenem, linezolid, and amikacin but not susceptible to ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. However, the susceptibility profiles varied between strains and species.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.2306/ scienceasia1513-1874.2021.088.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the Research and Development Institute of Ramkhamhaeng University and the Grants for Development of New Faculty Staffs, Ratchadaphiseksomphot Endowment Fund, Chulalongkorn University (DNS 63 079 33 005 1).

REFERENCES

- 1. Demain AL, Sanchez S (2009) Microbial drug discovery: 80 years of progress. *J Antibiot* **62**, 5–16.
- Matsumoto A, Takahashi Y (2017) Endophytic actinomycetes: promising source of novel bioactive compounds. J Antibiot 70, 514–519.
- van Bergeijk DA, Terlouw BR, Medema MH, van Wezel GP (2020) Ecology and genomics of *Actinobacteria*: new concepts for natural product discovery. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 18, 546–558.
- Barka EA, Vatsa P, Sanchez L, Gaveau-Vaillant N, Jacquard C, Meier-Kolthoff JP, Klenk HP, Clément C, et al (2015) Taxonomy, physiology, and natural products of *Actinobacteria*. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 80, 1–43.
- Jiang S, Sun W, Chen M, Dai S, Zhang L, Liu Y, Lee KJ, Li X (2007) Diversity of culturable actinobacteria isolated from marine sponge *Haliclona* sp. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 92, 405–416.
- Phongsopitanun W, Sripreechasak P, Rueangsawang K, Panyawut R, Pittayakhajonwut P, Tanasupawat S (2020) Diversity and antimicrobial activity of culturable endophytic actinobacteria associated with Acanthaceae plants. *ScienceAsia* 46, 288–296.
- 7. Genilloud O (2017) Actinomycetes: still a source of novel antibiotics. *Nat Prod Rep* **34**, 1203–1232.
- Berdy J (2005) Bioactive microbial metabolites. J Antibiot 58, 1–26.
- Wilson JW (2012) Nocardiosis: updates and clinical overview. Mayo Clin Proc 87, 403–407.
- 10. Lichon V, Khachemoune A (2006) Mycetoma: a review. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 7, 315–321.

- Hayakwa M, Nonomura H (1987) Humic acidvitamin agar, a new medium for selective isolation of soil actinomycetes. *J Ferment Technol* 65, 501–509.
- Suriyachadkun C, Chunhametha S, Thawai C, Tamura T, Potacharoen W, Kirtikara K, Sanglier JJ (2009) *Planotetraspora thailandica* sp. nov., isolated from soil in Thailand. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 59, 992–997.
- Lane DJ (1991) 16S/23S rRNAsequencing. In: Stackebrandt E, Goodfellow M (eds) Nucleic Acid Techniques in Bacterial Systematics, Wiley, Chichester, pp 115–148.
- Yoon SH, Ha SM, Kwon S, Lim J, Kim Y, Seo H, Chun J (2017) Introducing EzBioCloud: a taxonomically united database of 16S rRNA gene sequences and whole-genome assemblies. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 67, 1613–1617.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol Biol Evol* 33, 1870–1874.
- Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* 39, 783–791.
- Saravana Kumar P, Duraipandiyan V, Ignacimuthu S (2014) Isolation, screening and partial purification of antimicrobial antibiotics from soil *Streptomyces* sp. SCA 7. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci* **30**, 435–446.
- Kim M, Oh HS, Park SC, Chun J (2014) Towards a taxonomic coherence between average nucleotide identity and 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity for species demarcation of prokaryotes. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 64, 346–351.
- CLSI (2011) Susceptibility Testing of Mycobacteria, Nocardiae, and other Aerobic Actinomycetes; Approved Standard, 2nd edn, CLSI document M24-A2, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Watve MG, Tickoo R, Jog MM, Bhole BD (2001) How many antibiotics are produced by the genus *Streptomyces? Arch Microbiol* 176, 386–390.
- Yun B, Roh SG, Kim SB (2017) Diversity and physiological properties of soil actinobacteria in Ulleung Island. *Korean J Microbiol* 53, 242–250.
- 22. Sottorff I, Wiese J, Imhoff JF (2019) High diversity and novelty of *Actinobacteria* isolated from the coastal zone of the geographically remote young volcanic Easter Island. *Chile Int Microbiol* **22**, 377–390.
- 23. Mootsikapun P, Intarapoka B, Liawnoraset W (2005) Nocardiosis in srinagarind hospital, Thailand: review of 70 cases from 1996–2001. *Int J Infect Dis* **9**, 154–158.
- 24. Valdezate S, Garrido N, Carrasco G, Medina-Pascual MJ, Villalón P, Navarro AM, Saéz-Nieto JA (2017) Epidemiology and susceptibility to antimicrobial agents of the main *Nocardia* species in Spain. J Antimicrob Chemother 72, 754–761.
- 25. Lebeaux D, Bergeron E, Berthet J, Djadi-Prat J, Mouniée D, Boiron P, Lortholary O, Rodriguez-Nava

V (2019) Antibiotic susceptibility testing and species identification of *Nocardia* isolates: a retrospective analysis of data from a French expert laboratory, 2010–2015. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **25**, 489–495.

- 26. Reddy AK, Garg P, Kaur I (2010) Speciation and susceptibility of *Nocardia* isolated from ocular infections. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **16**, 1168–1171.
- 27. Martinez-Gamboa A, Cervera-Hernandez ME, Torres-Gonzalez P, Rangel-Cordero A, Ponce-de-Leon A, Sifuentes-Osornio J (2016) First case of *Nocardia amamiensis* pulmonary infection in Mexico. *New Microbes New Infect* **16**, 1–2.
- Andre E, Durkee HA, Arboleda A, Maestre J, Miller D, Parel JA (2020) Characterization of South Florida *Nocardia* keratitis: trends, risk factors, susceptibility

and response to photodynamic therapy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **61**, ID 4906.

- 29. McGhie T, Fader R, Carpenter J, Brown-Elliott BA, Vasireddy R, Wallace RJ Jr (2012) *Nocardia neocaledoniensis* as a cause of skin and soft tissue infection. *J Clin Microbiol* **50**, 3139–3140.
- Regueme A, Vachee A, Duployez C, Petit AE, Coulon P, Wallet F, Loiez C (2020) First case of fatal bacteremia due to *Nocardia neocaledoniensis*. *IDCases* 22, e00934.
- Pisoni G, Locatelli C, Alborali L, Rosignoli C, Allodi S, Riccaboni P, Grieco V, Moroni P (2008) Short communication: outbreak of *Nocardia neocaledoniensis* mastitis in an Italian dairy herd. *J Dairy Sci* 91, 136–139.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Table S1 (Closest BLASTN mate	hes for the 16S rDN	A sequence and	antimicrobial	activity o	of the actinoba	cterial isolates
------------	---------------------	---------------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-----------------	------------------

No.	Isolate no.	Top-hit taxon	Similarity	Length	Accession		Antin	nicrob	ial act	ivity	ŀ
		•	(%)	(nt)	no.	S	В	Κ	Е	Р	С
1	SC138	Nocardia amamiensis NBRC 102102 ^T	99.93	1462	LC435631	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	SC139	Nocardia amamiensis NBRC 102102	100	1454	LC435632	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	SC142	Nocardia amamiensis NBRC 102102	99.35	1401	LC435633	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	SCXX	Nocardia amamiensis NBRC 102102 ^T	100	1457	LC435634	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	SC001	Nocardia bhagyanarayanae VRC07 ¹	99.44	1466	LC435635	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	SC008	Nocardia bhagyanarayanae VRC07 ¹	99.58	1460	LC435636	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	SC025	Nocardia bhagyanarayanae VRC07 ¹	100	1398	LC435637	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	SC033	Nocardia bhagyanarayanae VRC07 ¹	100	1400	LC435638	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	SC053	Nocardia bhagyanarayanae VRC07 ¹	99.44	1466	LC435639	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	SC109	Nocardia bhagyanarayanae VRC07 ¹	100	1414	LC435640	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	SC122	Nocardia bhagyanarayanae VRC07 ¹	100	1400	LC435641	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	SC141	Nocardia bhagyanarayanae VRC07 ¹	99.93	1394	LC435642	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	SC152	Nocardia bhagyanarayanae VRC07	99.72	1452	LC435643	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	SC155	Nocardia bhagyanarayanae VRC07	100	1396	LC435644	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	SC164	Nocardia bhagyanarayanae VRC07	99.79	1485	LC435645	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	SC1/8	Nocaraia bhagyanarayanae VRC07	100	142/	LC435646	-	-	-	-	-	-
1/	SC1/9	Nocaraia bhagyanarayanae VRC07 ⁴	99.90	1468	LC43564/	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	SC180	Nocardia bhagyanarayanae VRC07 ²	100	1403	LC435048	-	-	-	_	-	_
19	50058	Nocardia noosaladanianaia ICM 12604 ^T	99.75	834	LC435030	-	-	-	_	-	-
20	SC049	Nocardia neocaleaoniensis JCM 12004 Nocardia rectospongiae ST01_07 ^T	99.93	1388	LC435049	-	-	-	_	-	-
21	50052	Nocardia xishanansis NDDC 101259 ^T	97.04	1415	LC435051	-	-	-	-	_	-
22	SC009 SC160	Strantomycas canarius NBPC 12421 ^T	99.17	1400	LC435052	_	-	_	_	-	-
23	SC109 SC081	Streptomyces canoamus ICM 4734 ^T	99.20	1401	LC435055	_	-	_	_	-	-
24	SC070	Streptomyces corrulescens ISD 5146 ^T	99.03	1709	LC435655	_	-	_	_	_	-
26	SC077	Streptomyces coerulescens ISP 5140	99.77	1474	LC435656	+	+	+	_		_
20	SC205	Streptomyces diakartensis NBBC 15409 ^T	99 71	1423	LC435657	++	++	- ++			
28	SC119	Streptomyces epissocaesilis NBRL-B 16365 ^T	100	1469	LC435658	++	++	++	++	+	+
29	SC060	Streptomyces flavoviridis NBRC 12772 ^T	99.17	1471	LC435659	_	_	_	_		<u> </u>
30	SC038	Streptomyces glaucescens NBRC 12774 ^T	99.61	1325	LC435660	_	_	_	_	_	_
31	SC026	Streptomyces globosus LMG 19896 ^T	100	1445	LC435661	_	_	_	_	_	_
32	SC201	Streptomyces globosus LMG 19896 ^T	100	1047	LC435662	_	±	_	_	_	_
33	SC069	Streptomyces hawaiiensis NBRC 12784 ^T	99.52	1471	LC435663	++	++	++	_	_	_
34	SC203	Streptomyces iakyrus NRRL ISP-5482 ^T	99.86	1402	LC435664	+	++	+	_	_	±
35	SC083	Streptomyces indiaensis NBRC 13964 ^T	99.31	1486	LC435665	$^{++}$	$^{++}$	$^{++}$	_	_	+
36	SC085	Streptomyces indiaensis NBRC 13964 ^T	99.93	1473	LC435666	_	$^{++}$	±	_	_	_
37	SC002	Streptomyces leeuwenhoekii C34 ^T	99.38	1461	LC435667	_	_	_	_	_	-
38	SC094	Streptomyces levis NBRC 15423 ^T	100	1410	LC435668	±	+	++	-	-	±
39	SC098	Streptomyces levis NBRC 15423 ^T	100	1459	LC435669	+	+	++	-	-	±
40	SC108	Streptomyces longispororuber NBRC 13488 ^T	99.44	1468	LC435670	-	-	-	-	±	-
41	SC113	Streptomyces longispororuber NBRC 13488 ¹	99.43	1452	LC435671	-	±	-	-	-	-
42	SC189	Streptomyces luteogriseus NBRC 13402 ¹	99.65	1457	LC435672	$^{++}$	$^{++}$	$^{++}$	-	-	-
43	SC127	Streptomyces neyagawaensis NRRL-B 30921	99.59	1491	LC435673	++	++	++	-	-	-
44	SC145	Streptomyces parvulus NBRC 13193 ¹	98.96	1455	LC435674	-	±	-	-	-	++
45	SC196	Streptomyces parvulus NBRC 13193 ¹	99.86	1467	LC435675	++	++	++	-	-	-
46	SC193	Streptomyces purpurascens NBRC 13077 ¹	99.45	1472	LC435676	++	++	++	-	-	-
47	SC028	Streptomyces rameus LMG 20326 ¹	100	1412	LC435677	-		-	-	-	-
48	SC074	Streptomyces spinoverrucosus NBRC 14228	98.93	1428	LC435678	++	++	+	-	-	±
49	SC191	Streptomyces spinoverrucosus NBRC 14228	99.17	1482	LC435679	++	++	++	-	-	++
50	50112	Streptomyces spiralis NBRC 14215	99.01	1122	LC435680	-	-	±	-	-	-
51	50006	Streptomyces spongiae Sp080513SC-24	98.92	1393	LC435681	-	-	_	-	5	-
52 52	SC107	Streptomyces viridochromogenes NBRC 3113*	99.30	1409		_		++	-	Ŧ	-
33 E1	50095	Streptomyces viriaosporus NKKL 2414 ²	98.27	140/	10435083	++	++	++	-	-	-
55	SC030	Saccharothrix australiansis DSM 42000T	77.31 08 E0	1434 1717	LC433004		-		-	-	
<u> </u>	36070		70.30	1414	10034111	++		++		-	++

^{*} Inhibition area: -, no inhibition area; ±, 1–5 mm; +, 6–10 mm; and ++, >10 mm. Abbreviation: S, *Staphylococcus aureus*; B, *Bacillus subtilis*; K, *Kocuria rhizophila*; E, *Escherichia coli*; P, *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa; and C, Candida albicans.



Fig. S1 Sampling site locations on Sichang Island, Chonburi Province, Thailand.



Fig. S2 Cultural characteristics and morphology of representative actinobacteria grown at 30 °C for 14 days: (a–c), *Streptomyces* sp. SC095 grown on ISP4 agar; (d–f), *Nocardia* sp. SC052 grown on ISP2 agar; and (g–i), *Saccharothrix* sp. SC076 grown on ISP2 agar. The white scale bar (1 cm) and the black scale bar (30 μ m) indicate the size of the culture plates and microscopic pictures, respectively.