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ABSTRACT: The boundedness and semi-cycle analysis of positive solutions, existence of period-2 solutions, and local and global asymptotic stability of the recursive sequence \( x_{n+1} = \alpha + \beta x_{n-1} + x_{n-1}/x_n \), \( n = 0, 1, \ldots \) are investigated where \( \alpha \in [0, \infty) \), \( \beta \in [0, 1) \) and the initial conditions \( x_{-1} \) and \( x_0 \) are arbitrary positive real numbers. The paper concludes with some numerical examples to illustrate the theoretical results.
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INTRODUCTION

Difference equations can be used to model and analyse many real-world processes where the current state is evaluated in terms of some previous states. Because of their wide range of applications1-4 many researchers have studied these systems5-8.

The aim of this paper is to examine the boundedness character and the semi-cycle analysis of the positive solutions, the periodic nature, and the stability of the difference equation
\[ x_{n+1} = \alpha + \beta x_{n-1} + x_{n-1}/x_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots \]  

where \( \alpha \in [0, \infty) \), \( \beta \in [0, 1) \) and the initial conditions \( x_{-1} \) and \( x_0 \) are arbitrary positive real numbers. Equation (1) with \( \beta = 0 \) becomes
\[ x_{n+1} = \alpha + x_{n-1}/x_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots \] 

which has been dealt with by many authors. Also, the recursive sequence (2) for negative values of \( \alpha \) has been examined in Refs. 9, 10, and for non-negative values of \( \alpha \) has been studied in Ref. 11. Some of the types of behaviour that are studied in this paper have been investigated in Refs. 12, 13 for \( x_{n+1} = \alpha + x_{n-k}/x_n \) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \).

Equation (1) can be written as
\[ x_{n+1} = f(x_n, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots \] 

where \( f(x, y) = \alpha + \beta y + y/x \). Since \( f : (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty) \) is a continuously differentiable function, (3) and hence (1) have a unique solution \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) for all initial conditions \( x_{-1}, x_0 \in (0, \infty) \).

PRELIMINARIES

The definitions provided in this section can be found in many books5,7 and papers (see Refs. 9, 11 and the references therein), and the preliminary results are either given in these references or can be derived as a simple consequence of those obtained in there.

A point \( \bar{x} \in (0, \infty) \) is said to be a fixed point or an equilibrium solution of (3) if \( f(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) = \bar{x} \). Clearly, the only fixed point of (1) is
\[ \bar{x} = (1 + \alpha)/(1 - \beta). \]

Let \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) be a positive solution of (1). A positive semi-cycle of \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) consists of a string of terms \( \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \), all greater than or equal to \( \bar{x} \), with \( l \geq -1 \) and \( m \leq \infty \) and such that

- either \( l = -1 \), or \( l > -1 \) and \( x_{l-1} < \bar{x} \)

and

- either \( m = \infty \), or \( m < \infty \) and \( x_{m+1} < \bar{x} \).

A negative semi-cycle of \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) consists of a string of terms \( \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \), all less than \( \bar{x} \), with \( l \geq -1 \) and \( m \leq \infty \) and such that

- either \( l = -1 \), or \( l > -1 \) and \( x_{l-1} > \bar{x} \)

and

- either \( m = \infty \), or \( m < \infty \) and \( x_{m+1} > \bar{x} \).

A solution \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) of (1) is nonoscillatory if there exists \( N \geq -1 \) such that either
\[ x_n > \bar{x} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq N \]
If the following theorem holds, write the so-called linearization about the fixed point. Setting $\alpha = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta}$, means of linearization about the fixed point. Setting $\alpha = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta}$, we deduce.

A necessary and sufficient condition for both

(i) $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = L \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+1} = L/([1 - \beta]L - \alpha]$;

(ii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+1} = L \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = L/([1 - \beta]L - \alpha]$.

Proof: Taking the limit of (1) as $n \to \infty$ yields the required result.

Lemma 2 Let $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ be a solution of (1). Then the following statements are true for all $n$.

(i) $x_{n+1} < x_n \iff x_n < x_0 + \alpha x_n + (\beta - 1)x_{n-1}x_n < 0$.

(ii) $x_{n+1} = x_n \iff x_n + \alpha x_n + (\beta - 1)x_{n-1}x_n = 0$.

(iii) $x_{n+1} > x_n \iff x_n > x_0 + \alpha x_n + (\beta - 1)x_{n-1}x_n > 0$.

Proof: The lemma follows immediately from the fact that

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = \alpha + \beta x_n + \frac{x_n - x_{n-1}}{x_n} = \frac{x_n - 1 + \alpha x_n + (\beta - 1)x_{n-1}x_n}{x_n}.$$ 

Corollary 1 Let $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ be a positive solution of (1), and $\alpha = 1$. Then

(i) If $x_{n+1} < x_n$, then $x_{n+1} = x_1/\cdots < x_0 < x_2 < x_4 < \cdots$;

(ii) If $x_{n+1} = x_n$, then $x_n = x_1 = x_3 = \cdots$ and $x_0 = x_2 = x_4 = \cdots$;

(iii) If $x_{n+1} > x_n$, then $x_n > x_1 > x_3 > \cdots$ and $x_0 > x_2 > x_4 > \cdots$.

Proof: Observe that, for $n \geq 0$,

$$x_n + x_{n+1} + (\beta - 1)x_n x_{n+1} = \frac{(1 + \beta x_n)(x_{n+1} + x_n + (\beta - 1)x_{n-1}x_n)}{x_n}$$

and, hence by Lemma 2 with $\alpha = 1$ one has the required results.

Theorem 2 of Ref. 10 states that if $\alpha_n$ is a period-2 sequence, $f$ and $g$ are non-decreasing continuous functions which map the interval $(0, \infty)$ into itself, and $\{x_n\}$ is a positive solution of

$$x_n = \alpha_n + f(x_{n-2}) + g(x_{n-1}),$$

then the sequences $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ are eventually monotonic.

Taking $\alpha_n = \alpha, f(x) = x$ and $g(x) = x/(\beta x + 1)$, in Theorem 2 of Ref. 10, the following result can be deduced.
Lemma 3 Let $\alpha \geq 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a positive solution of (1). Then $\{x_{2n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{x_{2n-1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are eventually monotonic.

MAIN RESULTS

Boundedness

In this part, the boundedness of positive solutions of (1) is addressed. For this purpose, firstly the following lemma which will be important to prove the existence of an unbounded solution is given.

Lemma 4 Let $\alpha \geq 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a positive solution of (1). Then at least one of the subsequences $\{x_{2n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{x_{2n-1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded. Also,

(i) $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = \infty \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n-1} = \alpha/(1 - \beta)$.

(ii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n-1} = \infty \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = \alpha/(1 - \beta)$.

Proof: Suppose that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a positive solution of (1) such that both $\{x_{2n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{x_{2n-1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are unbounded. Using Lemma 3, it is easy to see that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = \infty$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+1} = \infty$. Then

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x_{2n+1} - \beta}{x_{2n-1}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{\alpha}{x_{2n-1}} + \beta + \frac{1}{x_{2n}} \right) = \beta.
\]

Now, for $\epsilon = (1 - \beta)/2$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

\[
\left| \frac{x_{2n+1} - \beta}{x_{2n-1}} \right| < \frac{1 - \beta}{2} \quad \text{for all} \quad n > N,
\]

which gives us $x_{2n+1} < \frac{1}{2}(1 + \beta) x_{2n-1}$ for all $n > N$. Using this inequality repeatedly, one obtains

\[x_{2n+1} < \left( \frac{1 + \beta}{2} \right)^{n-N} x_{2N+1} \quad \text{for all} \quad n > N.
\]

Since $(1 + \beta)/2 < 1$, the above estimate leads to $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+1} = 0$, which is a contradiction. Additionally, one can show that if one of the subsequences $\{x_{2n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{x_{2n-1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is unbounded, then the other one converges to $\alpha/(1 - \beta)$. \(\square\)

In the next theorem, it is shown that there exist positive solutions of (1) which are unbounded.

Theorem 2 Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a solution of (1) satisfying $0 < x_1 < 1/(1 - \beta)$ and $x_0 > 1/((1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta))$. Then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+1} = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta}.
$$

Proof: Since $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, it is clear that $1/(1 - \alpha) \geq \alpha + 1$. Hence $x_0 > \tilde{x}$. Also,

\[
x_1 = \alpha + \beta x_{-1} + \frac{x_{-1}}{x_0} < \frac{1}{1 - \beta}
\]

and

\[
x_1 = \alpha + \beta x_{-1} + \frac{x_{-1}}{x_0} > \alpha.
\]

That is, $\alpha < x_1 < 1/(1 - \beta)$. On the other hand,

\[
x_2 = \alpha + \beta x_0 + \frac{x_0}{x_1} = \alpha + \left( \beta + \frac{1}{x_1} \right) x_0 > \alpha + x_0,
\]

\[
x_3 = \alpha + \beta x_1 + \frac{x_1}{x_2} < \alpha + \beta x_1 + \frac{x_1}{x_0} < \frac{1}{1 - \beta}.
\]

By induction, one can show that

\[
x_{2n} > n \alpha + x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_{2n-1} < \left( \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \right)^{-n} \alpha
\]

for all $n \geq 1$. (7)

Hence if $\alpha \neq 0$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = \infty$ and, hence by Lemma 4, $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+1} = \alpha/(1 - \beta)$ as claimed.

For $\alpha = 0$ one has

\[
x_{2n+2} - x_{2n} = \left( \beta - 1 + \frac{1}{x_{2n+1}} \right) x_{2n} > 0
\]

and

\[
x_{2n+2} - x_{2n+1} = \left( \beta - 1 + \frac{1}{x_{2n+1}} \right) x_{2n+1} < 0
\]

which means that $\{x_{2n}\}$ is strictly increasing and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ is strictly decreasing. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = L < \infty$, then by Lemma 1 one obtains $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+1} = 1/(1 - \beta)$. Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides of $x_{2n+1} = (\beta + 1/x_{2n}) x_{2n+1}$ yields $L = 1/(1 - \beta)$, which is not possible since $\{x_{2n}\}$ is increasing and $x_0 \geq \tilde{x}$. Hence $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = \infty$ and, by Lemma 4, $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+1} = 0$ as required. \(\square\)

Periodicity and semi-cycle analysis

In this part, the period-2 solutions of (1) are considered. Also, the semi-cycle analysis of positive solutions is performed and the convergence of any positive solution to the fixed point or a period-2 solution of (1) is dealt with alongside this.

Lemma 5 Equation (1) has period-2 solutions if and only if $\alpha = 1$. Moreover, when $\alpha = 1$, $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is period-2 if and only if $x_{-1} \neq 2/(1 - \beta)$, $x_{-1} \neq 1/(1 - \beta)$ and $x_0 = x_{-1}/(x_{-1}(1 - \beta) - 1)$.

Proof: Suppose that (1) has a period-2 solution

\[
\ldots, x, y, x, y, \ldots
\]
where $x \neq y$. Then

$$x = \alpha + \beta x + \frac{x}{y}, \quad (8a)$$

$$y = \alpha + \beta y + \frac{y}{x}. \quad (8b)$$

Subtraction of the latter equation from the former one yields $y = x/[x(1-\beta) - 1]$. Plugging this into (8a) gives $\alpha = 1$. Notice that $x = 2/(1-\beta)$ results in $y = 2/(1-\beta)$, which contradicts the assumption that $x \neq y$.

Conversely, assume that $\alpha = 1$. Let $x_0 \neq 2/(1-\beta)$, $x_0 \neq 1/(1-\beta)$ and $x_0 = x_{-1}/(x_{-1} - (1-\beta) - 1)$. From (1), the following can be deduced:

$$x_1 = 1 + \beta x_{-1} + \frac{x_{-1}}{x_0} = x_{-1}$$

$$x_2 = 1 + \beta x_0 + \frac{x_0}{x_1} = 1 + \beta x_0 + \frac{x_0}{x_{-1}} = x_0.$$  

By induction, it is now easy to see that $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ is a 2-period solution.

**Lemma 6** Let $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ be a positive solution of (1) which consists of a single semi-cycle. Then $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ converges to $\bar{x} = (1 + \alpha)/(1 - \beta)$.

**Proof:** Suppose that $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ is a positive solution of (1) which is a negative semi-cycle. Then, using $1 - \beta = (1 + \alpha)/\bar{x}$ and $0 < x_n < \bar{x}$, one obtains

$$x_{2n+2} - x_{2n} = \alpha + \beta - 1 + \frac{1}{x_{2n+1}} x_{2n}$$

$$> \alpha \left(1 - \frac{x_{2n}}{\bar{x}}\right) \geq 0$$

and

$$x_{2n+1} - x_{2n-1} = \alpha + \beta - 1 + \frac{1}{x_{2n}} x_{2n-1}$$

$$> \alpha \left(1 - \frac{x_{2n-1}}{\bar{x}}\right) \geq 0,$$

implying that the subsequences $\{x_{2n+1}\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ and $\{x_{2n}\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ are both strictly increasing. Hence the limits $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+1} = L_1$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = L_2$ exist. Also, $L_1, L_2 \in (0, \bar{x})$. Since $L_1 = \alpha + \beta L_1 + L_1/L_2$, one has

$$\frac{\alpha}{L_1} + \frac{1}{L_2} = 1 - \beta. \quad (9)$$

Now, if $L_1 < \bar{x}$ or $L_2 < \bar{x}$, then $\alpha/L_1 + 1/L_2 > (\alpha + 1)/\bar{x} = 1 - \beta$, which contradicts (9). Hence $L_1 = L_2 = \bar{x}$ and, hence, $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ converges to $\bar{x}$, as claimed.

The case when $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ is a positive semi-cycle can be handled in a similar way.

**Lemma 7** Let $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ be a positive solution of (1) which consists of at least two semi-cycles. Then $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ is oscillatory. Moreover, with the possible exception of the first semi-cycle, every semi-cycle has length 1. Aside from that, for any $\epsilon > 0$, except possibly for finitely many terms, every term of $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ is strictly greater than $\alpha/(1 - \beta) - \epsilon$.

**Proof:** Suppose that $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ is a positive solution which consists of at least two semi-cycles. Then there exists $m \geq -1$ such that $x_m < \bar{x} < x_{m+1}$ or $x_{m+1} < \bar{x} < x_m$. Only the former case will be considered since the latter can be treated similarly. Now,

$$x_{m+2} = \alpha + \beta x_{m+1} + \frac{x_m}{x_{m+1}} < \alpha + \beta \bar{x} + 1 = \bar{x}$$

and

$$x_{m+3} = \alpha + \beta x_{m+2} + \frac{x_{m+1}}{x_{m+2}} > \alpha + \beta \bar{x} + 1 = \bar{x}.$$

Again by induction, it can be shown that

$$\alpha < x_{m+2k} < \bar{x} < x_{m+2k+1} \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

That is, every semi-cycle, except possibly for the first one, say $\{x_{-1}, \ldots, x_m\}$, has length 1, and the solution $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ is oscillatory.

Additionally, by Lemma 3, it is clear that the subsequences $\{x_{m+2k}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ and $\{x_{m+2k+1}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ are eventually monotonic. Hence, $x_{m+2k} \to L_1$ as $k \to \infty$, where $\alpha < L_1 < \bar{x}$. In the case when $\{x_{m+2k+1}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is not bounded from above, one has $x_{m+2k+1} \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ which, by Lemma 4, implies that $L_1 = \alpha/(1 - \beta)$. On the other hand, if $\{x_{m+2k+1}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is bounded from above, then it has a finite limit, say $L_2$. Clearly,

$$\frac{\alpha}{L_1} + \frac{1}{L_2} = 1 - \beta = \frac{1}{L_1} + \frac{\alpha}{L_2}$$

and $L_1 > \alpha/(1 - \beta)$ since, otherwise,

$$1 - \beta = \frac{\alpha}{L_1} + \frac{1}{L_2} \geq 1 - \beta + \frac{1}{L_2}$$

implies that $L_2 \leq 0$, which is an obvious contradiction. Thus in all cases, $L_1 \geq \alpha/(1 - \beta)$. Using this together with (10), one obtains the final result of Lemma 7.

**Theorem 3** Let $\alpha = 1$, $0 < \beta < 1$, and $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ be a positive solution of (1). Then the following statements hold:
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Lemma 8 For the equilibrium point \( \tilde{x} = (1 + \alpha)/(1 - \beta) \) of (1), we have

(i) \( \tilde{x} \) is locally asymptotically stable if \( \alpha > 1 \);
(ii) \( \tilde{x} \) is unstable if \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \).

**Proof:** The linearized equation of (1) about \( \tilde{x} \) is

\[ y_{n+1} = Ay_n + By_{n-1}, \]

where \( A = -(1 - \beta)/(1 + \alpha) \) and \( B = (1 + \alpha \beta)/(1 + \alpha) \).

Let \( 0 < \beta < 1 \).

(i) If \( \alpha > 1 \), then

\[ |A| + B - 1 = \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)}{1 + \alpha} < 0 \]

and

\[ 1 - B = \frac{\alpha(1 - \beta)}{1 + \alpha} < 2, \]

and hence, by Theorem 1(iii), \( \tilde{x} \) is locally asymptotically stable.

(ii) If \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), then

\[ A^2 + 4B > 0 \]

and

\[ |A| - |1 - B| = \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)}{1 + \alpha} > 0, \]

and hence, by Theorem 1(iv), \( \tilde{x} \) is unstable. \( \square \)

**Lemma 9** Let \( \alpha > 1 \), and let \( \{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty \) be a positive solution of (1). Then

\[ \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n \]

\[ \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha - 1)(1 - \beta)}. \]

**Proof:** Because of Lemmas 6 and 7, it may be assumed that every semi-cycle of \( \{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty \) has length 1, that \( \alpha/(1 - \beta) < x_n \) for all \( n \geq -1 \), and that \( \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} < x_0 \leq (1 + \alpha)/(1 - \beta) < x_{-1} \). Note that for \( n \geq 0 \),

\[ x_{2n+1} = \alpha + \beta x_{2n-1} + \frac{x_{2n-2}}{x_{2n}} \]

\[ < \alpha + \left( \beta + \frac{1 - \beta}{\alpha} \right) x_{2n-1}. \]

Thus

\[ x_{2n+1} < \alpha + \alpha \left( \beta + \frac{1 - \beta}{\alpha} \right) + \left( \beta + \frac{1 - \beta}{\alpha} \right)^2 x_{2n-3}. \]

Successive application of the previous inequality leads to

\[ x_{2n+1} < \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha - 1)(1 - \beta)} \left[ 1 - \left( \beta + \frac{1 - \beta}{\alpha} \right)^n \right] \]

\[ + \left( \beta + \frac{1 - \beta}{\alpha} \right)^n x_{-1}. \] (11)

Since \( \beta + (1 - \beta)/\alpha < 1 \), it follows from (10) with \( m = 0 \) and (11) that

\[ \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha - 1)(1 - \beta)}. \]

That is, for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( N \geq 0 \) such that

\[ x_{2n+1} < \frac{\alpha^2 + \epsilon}{(\alpha - 1)(1 - \beta)} \] for all \( n \geq N. \)

Thus for any \( n > N \),

\[ x_{2n} = \alpha + \beta x_{2n-2} + \frac{x_{2n-2}}{x_{2n-1}} \]

\[ > \alpha + \left( \beta + \frac{(\alpha - 1)(1 - \beta)}{\alpha^2 + \epsilon} \right) \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \]

\[ = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha^2 + \epsilon}. \]

Since \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary, it follows that

\[ \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n \geq \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}. \] \( \square \)

The following theorem, also given in Ref. 7, will be useful to obtain the global asymptotic stability condition of the fixed point \( \tilde{x} \) of (1).

**Theorem 4** Let \( f : (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty) \) be a continuous function and consider the difference equation

\[ x_{n+1} = f(x_n, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots \] (12)

where \( x_{-1}, x_0 \in (0, \infty) \). Suppose \( f \) satisfies the following conditions:
(i) there exist positive numbers $a$ and $b$ with $a < b$ such that
\[ a \leq f(x, y) \leq b \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y \in [a, b]; \]

(ii) $f(x, y)$ is non-increasing in $x \in [a, b]$ for each $y \in [a, b]$, and $f(x, y)$ is non-decreasing in $y \in [a, b]$ for each $x \in [a, b]$;

(iii) (12) has no period-2 solutions in $[a, b]$. Then there exists exactly one equilibrium point $\bar{x}$ of (12) which lies in $[a, b]$. Also, every solution of (12) which lies in $[a, b]$ converges to $\bar{x}$.

**Theorem 5** Let $\alpha > 1$. Then $\bar{x} = (1 + \alpha)/(1 - \beta)$ is a globally asymptotically stable equilibrium point of (1).

**Proof:** It is known from Lemma 8 that $\bar{x} = (1 + \alpha)/(1 - \beta)$ is a locally asymptotically stable equilibrium point of (1). Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a positive solution of (1). It suffices to show that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \beta}. \]

For $x, y \in (0, \infty)$, set $f(x, y) = \alpha + \beta y + y/x$, $a = \alpha/(1 - \beta)$, and $b = \alpha^2/[(\alpha - 1)(1 - \beta)]$. Then,
\[ f(a, b) = \alpha + \frac{\beta \alpha^2}{(\alpha - 1)(1 - \beta)} + \frac{\alpha}{a - 1} = \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha - 1)(1 - \beta)} = b \]
and
\[ f(b, a) = \alpha + \frac{\alpha \beta}{1 - \beta} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} > a. \]

Hence
\[ a \leq f(x, y) \leq b \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y \in [a, b]. \]

By Lemma 9,
\[ \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} < \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha - 1)(1 - \beta)} \]
and, by Theorem 4,
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \beta}. \]

\[ \square \]

**NUMERICAL EXAMPLES**

This part of the paper is devoted to some numerical tests to illustrate the theoretical results obtained in here.

**Example 1** Consider the initial value problem (IVP)
\[ x_{n+1} = 0.2 + 0.5 x_{n-1} + \frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \]
\[ x_{-1} = 1, \quad x_0 = 3. \]

Clearly, the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and, as a result, $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = \infty$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+1} = \alpha/(1 - \beta) = 0.4$ as seen in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

**Example 2** Consider the IVP
\[ x_{n+1} = 1 + 0.5 x_{n-1} + \frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \]
\[ x_{-1} = 1, \quad x_0 = 5. \]
Obviously, the solution \( \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \) of (14) consists of at least two semi-cycles. Then, by Theorem 3, this solution converges to a period-2 solution as per Fig. 3.

**Example 3** Consider the IVP

\[
x_{n+1} = 2 + 0.5x_{n-1} + \frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots,
\]
\[
x_{-1} = 1, \quad x_0 = 3.
\]

Since, in this example, \( \alpha = 2 > 1 \), by Theorem 5, the equilibrium point \( \bar{x} = 6 \) of (15) is globally asymptotically stable. As it can be seen in Fig. 4, the solution \( \{x_n\} \) of (15) converges to the fixed point \( \bar{x} = 6 \).
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