doi: 10.2306/scienceasia1513-1874.2013.39.436

A characterization of $L_3(4)$

Shitian Liu

School of Science, Sichuan University of Science & Engineering, Zigong Sichuan, 643000, China

e-mail: liust@suse.edu.cn

Received 7 Nov 2012 Accepted 12 Mar 2013

ABSTRACT: Let G be a group and $\omega(G)$ be the set of element orders of G. Let $k \in \omega(G)$ and s_k be the number of elements of order k in G. Let $nse(G) = \{s_k \mid k \in \omega(G)\}$. $L_3(2) \cong L_2(7)$ is uniquely determined by nse(G). In this paper, we prove that if G is a group such that $nse(G) = nse(L_3(4))$, then $G \cong L_3(4)$.

KEYWORDS: element order, linear group, Thompson's problem, number of elements of the same order

INTRODUCTION

If n is an integer, then we denote by $\pi(n)$ the set of all prime divisors of n. Let G be a group. The set of element orders of G is denoted by $\omega(G)$. Let $k \in \omega(G)$ and s_k be the number of elements of order k in G. Let $nse(G) = \{s_k | k \in \omega(G)\}$. Let $\pi(G)$ denote the set of primes p such that G contains an element of order p. A finite group G is called a simple K_n -group if G is a simple group with $|\pi(G)| = n$. Thompson posed a very interesting problem related to algebraic number fields as follows¹.

Thompson's Problem. Let $T(G) = \{(n, s_n) | n \in \omega(G) \text{ and } s_n \in \operatorname{nse}(G)\}$, where s_n is the number of elements with order n. Suppose that T(G) = T(H). If G is a finite solvable group, is it true that H is also necessarily solvable?

It was proved that if G is a group and M some simple K_i -group, i = 3, 4, then $G \cong M$ if and only if |G| = |M| and nse(G) = nse(M) (see Refs. 2, 3). And the groups A_{12} , A_{13} and $L_5(2)$ are characterizable by order and nse (see Refs. 4–6).

We only consider the sizes of elements of the same order but disregard the actual orders of elements in T(G) of Thompson's Problem. In other words, can nse(G) characterize finite simple groups? Some groups for $L_2(q)$, where $q \in \{7, 8, 9, 11, 13\}$, have been characterized by only the set nse(G) (see Refs. 7, 8). In this paper it is shown that the projective special linear group $L_3(4)$ can also be characterized by nse $(L_3(4))$.

SOME LEMMAS

Lemma 1 (Ref. 9) Let G be a finite group and m be a positive integer dividing |G|. If $L_m(G) = \{g \in G | g^m = 1\}$, then $m \mid |L_m(G)|$.

Lemma 2 (Ref. 10) Let G be a finite group and $p \in \pi(G)$ be odd. Suppose that P is a Sylow p-subgroup

of G and $n = p^s m$ with (p, m) = 1. If P is not cyclic and s > 1, then the number of elements of order n is always a multiple of p^s .

Lemma 3 (Ref. 8) Let G be a group containing more than two elements. If the maximum number s of elements of the same order in G is finite, then G is finite and $|G| \leq s(s^2 - 1)$.

Lemma 4 (Theorem 9.3.1 of Ref. 11) Let G be a finite soluble group and |G| = mn, where $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ and (m, n) = 1. Let $\pi = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ and h_m be the number of Hall π -subgroups of G. Then $h_m = q_1^{\beta_1} \cdots q_s^{\beta_s}$ satisfies the following conditions for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$:

(i) $q_i^{\beta_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_j}$ for some p_j .

(ii) The order of some chief factor of G is divisible by $q_i^{\beta_i}$

PROOF OF THEOREM

Let G be a group such that $nse(G) = nse(L_3(4))$, and s_n be the number of elements of order n. By Lemma 3 we have G is finite. We note that $s_n = k\phi(n)$, where k is the number of cyclic subgroups of order n. Also we note that if n > 2, then $\phi(n)$ is even. If $m \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 1 and the above discussion, we have

$$\begin{cases} \phi(m) \mid s_m, \\ m \mid \sum_{d \mid m} s_d. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Theorem 1 Let G be a group with $nse(G) nse(L_3(4)) = \{1, 315, 2240, 3780, 5760, 8064\}$, where $L_3(4)$ is the projective special linear group of degree 3 over the finite field of order 4. Then $G \cong L_3(4)$.

Proof: We prove the theorem by first proving that $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2,3,5,7\}$, second showing that $|G| = |L_3(4)|$, and so $G \cong L_3(4)$.

ScienceAsia 39 (2013)

By (i), $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 19\}$. If m > 2, then $\phi(m)$ is even, then $s_2 = 315, 2 \in \pi(G)$. If $3, 5, 7 \in \pi(G)$, then $s_3 = 2240, s_5 = 8064$, and $s_7 = 5760$. In the following, we prove that $19 \notin \pi(G)$. If $19 \in \pi(G)$, then by (i), $s_{19} = 3780$. If $2 \cdot 19 \in \omega(G)$, then $s_{38} \notin \text{nse}(G)$. Therefore $38 \notin \omega(G)$. It follows that the Sylow 19-subgroup P_{19} acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 2, then $|P_{19}| \mid s_2(=315)$, a contradiction. Hence $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$.

If $2^i \in \omega(G)$, then $\phi(2^i) = 2^{i-1} | s_{2^i}$ and so $1 \leq i \leq 8$. If $3^j \in \omega(G)$, then $\phi(3^j) | s_{3^j}$ and so $1 \leq j \leq 4$. If $5^k \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq k \leq 2$. If $5^2 \in \omega(G)$, then $s_{25} \notin \operatorname{nse}(G)$, a contradiction. Hence k = 1. If $7^l \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq l \leq 2$. If $7^2 \in \omega(G)$, then $s_{49} \notin \operatorname{nse}(G)$, a contradiction. Therefore l = 1.

If $2^m \cdot 3^n \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq m \leq 7$ and $1 \leq n \leq 4$. If $2^a \cdot 5 \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 6$. If $2^b \cdot 7 \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq b \leq 7$.

If $3^c \cdot 5 \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq c \leq 3$. By (i), $s_{15} = s_{45} = 5760$. If $3^d \cdot 7 \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq d \leq 3$.

If $5 \cdot 7 \in \omega(G)$, the $s_{35} \notin \text{nse}(G)$, a contradiction. Hence $5 \cdot 7 \notin \omega(G)$.

If $2^e \cdot 3^f \cdot 5$, then $1 \leq e \leq 5$ and $1 \leq f \leq 4$. If $2^g \cdot 3^h \cdot 7$, then $1 \leq g \leq 6$ and $1 \leq h \leq 3$.

we Hence have $\omega(G)$ $\{1, 2, 2^2, 2^3, 2^4, 2^5, 2^6, 2^7, 2^8\} \cup \{3, 3^2, 3^3, 3^4\} \cup$ $\{5\} \cup \{7\} \cup \{2 \cdot 3, 2^2 \cdot 3, 2^3 \cdot 3, 2^4 \cdot 3, 2^5 \cdot 3, 2^6 \cdot 3, 2^7 \cdot 3,$ $3^2, 2^2, 3^2, 2^3, 3^2, 2^4, 3^2, 2^5, 3^3, 2^6, 3^2, 2^7, 3^2, 2, 3^3, 2^2$ $3^3, 2^3 \cdot 3^3, 2^4 \cdot 3^3, 2^5 \cdot 3^3, 2^6 \cdot 3^3, 2^7 \cdot 3^3, 2 \cdot 3^4, 2^2 \cdot 3^4, 2^3 \cdot$ $3^4, 2^4 \cdot 3^4, 2^5 \cdot 3^4, 2^6 \cdot 3^4, 2^7 \cdot 3^4 \} \cup \{2 \cdot 5, 2^2 \cdot 5, 2^3 \cdot 5, 2^3$ $5, 2^4 \cdot 5, 2^5 \cdot 5, 2^6 \cdot 5 \} \cup \{2 \cdot 7, 2^2 \cdot 7, 2^3 \cdot 7, 2^4 \cdot 7, 2^5 \cdot 7, 2^6 \cdot 6\}$ $7, 2^7 \cdot 7 \cup \{3 \cdot 5, 3^3 \cdot 5, 3^3 \cdot 5\} \cup \{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5, 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5, 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5\}$ $5, 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5, 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5, 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5, 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5, 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5, 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot$ $5, 2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5, 2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5, 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5, 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5, 2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5, 2^5 \cdot$ $3^3 \cdot 5, 2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5, 2^2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5, 2^3 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5, 2^4 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5, 2^5 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \} \cup$ $\{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7, 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7, 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7, 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 7, 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 7, 2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 7, 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 7, 2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 7, 2^6$ $3^2 \cdot 7, 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7, 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7, 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7, 2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7, 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7, 2$ $3^3 \cdot 7, 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7, 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7, 2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7, 2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7, 2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7, 2$ $3^4 \cdot 7, 2^2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7, 2^3 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7, 2^4 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7, 2^5 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7, 2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7$

Hence $|G| = 20160 + 2240k_1 + 3780k_2 + 5760k_3 + 8064k_4 = 2^l \cdot 3^m \cdot 5^n \cdot 7^p$, where k_1 , k_2 , k_3 , k_4 , l, m, n and p are non-negative integers. So $5040 + 560k_1 + 945k_2 + 1440k_3 + 2016k_4 = 2^{l-2} \cdot 3^m \cdot 5^n \cdot 7^p$. Now we consider the cases.

Case (a). $\pi(G) = \{2\}$. In this case, $5040 + 560k_1 + 945k_2 + 1440k_3 + 2016k_4 = 2^{l-2}$ and $0 \le k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \le 3$. Since $2^4(336 + 35k_1 + 90k_3 + 126k_4) + 945k_2 = 2^{l-2}$, it follows that $2^4 \mid k_2$, Hence $k_2 = 0$. So $336 + 35k_1 + 90k_3 + 126k_4 = 2^{l-6}$. It is easy to get that $k_1 = 0$ or 3, which means $3 \mid 2^{l-6}$. So l = 6, and $336 + 35k_1 + 90k_3 + 126k_4 = 1$, a contradiction.

Case (b). $\pi(G) = \{2,3\}$. We know that $\exp(P_3) = 3,9,27$, or 81. By (i), $s_9, s_{27} \in \{3780, 5760, 8064\}$ and so we get that $s_{81} \notin \operatorname{nse}(G)$. Hence $\exp(P_3) = 3, 9, \text{ or } 27$.

Subcase b.1. $\exp(P_3) = 3$. By Lemma 1, $|P_3| | 1 + s_3$, and so $|P_3| \leq 9$. If $|P_3| = 3$, then P_3 is cyclic and by (i), $n_3 = s_3/\phi(3) = 2240/2 = 1120$, which means 5 | |G|, a contradiction. If $|P_3| = 9$, then $5040 + 560k_1 + 945k_2 + 1440k_3 + 2016k_4 = 2^{l-2} \cdot 3^2$, where k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 and m are non-negative integers and $0 \leq k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \leq 11$. Hence we have $5040 \leq 2^{l-2} \cdot 3^2 \leq 5040 + 2016 \cdot 11$ and so l = 10 or 11.

Case l = 10. Therefore $9(560+105k_2+224k_4) + 560k_1 + 1440k_3 = 2^8 \cdot 9$. Thus $9 \mid k_1$, and so $k_1 = 0$ or $k_1 = 9$

Case $k_1 = 0$. If $k_3 = 0$, then $16(35 + 14k_4) + 105k_2 = 2^{10}$ and so $k_2 = 0$. But the equation $35 + 14k_4 = 2^6$ has no solution. If $k_3 = 3$, then $4(140 + 95k_3 + 6k_4) + 105k_2 = 2^{10}$, and so $4 | k_2$. Hence $k_2 = 0, 4, 8$. If $k_2 = 0$, then the equation $560 + 480 + 224k_4 = 2^{10}$ has no solution in N. If $k_2 = 4$, then the equation $1360 + 224k_4 = 1024$ has no solution in N. Then $k_2 = 8$ and so $k_4 = 0$, we also get a contradiction. If $k_3 = 9$, then $4 | k_2$ and so $k_2 = 0$. Therefore we have that $1700 + 224k_4 = 2^{10}$, but the equation has no solution in N.

Case $k_1 = 9$. We can get the same result as $k_1 = 0$.

Case l = 11. We also get same the results as l = 10.

Subcase b.2. $\exp(P_3) = 9$. By Lemma 1, $|P_3| | 1 + s_3 + s_9$ and so $|P_3| \leq 27$. If $|P_3| = 9$, then P_3 is cyclic. By (i) $s_9 = 3780, 5760, 8064$, and so $n_3 = s_9/\phi(9) = 945, 1440, 2016$. It follows that 5 | |G| or 7 | |G|, a contradiction. If $|P_3| = 27$, then $5040+560k_1+945k_2+1440k_3+2016k_4 = 2^{l-2} \cdot 3^3$, where k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 and m are non-negative integers and $0 \leq k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \leq 16$. Hence we have $5040 \leq 2^{l-2} \cdot 3^3 \leq 5040 + 2016 \cdot 16$ and so l = 8, 9, or 10.

Case l = 8. We have that $9 | k_1$, and so $k_1 = 0$ or 9. If $k_1 = 0$, then $16(35 + 10k_3 + 14k_4) + 105k_2 = 2^6 \cdot 3$, it follows that $16 | k_2$. Hence $k_2 = 0$, and so $35 + 10k_3 + 14k_4 = 12$, but the equation has no solution in \mathbb{N} .

Case l = 9, 10. As with the case l = 8, we get a contradiction.

Subcase b.3. $\exp(P_3) = 27$. By Lemma 1, $|P_3| | 1 + s_3 + s_9 + s_{27}$, and so $|P_3| \le 81$. If $|P_3| = 27$, then P_3 is cyclic. By (i), $s_{27} = 3780, 5760$ or 8064 and so $n_3 = s_{27}/\phi(27) = 210, 320, 448$, it follows that 5 | |G| or 7 | |G|, a contradiction. If $|P_3| = 81$,

then by Lemma 2, $s_{81} = 27t$ for some integer t and so $s_{27} = 3780$. But by Lemma 1, $27 | 1+s_3+s_9+s_{27}(= 9800, 11780)$, a contradiction.

Case (c). $\pi(G) = \{2, 5\}$. Since $s_5 = 8064$, by Lemma 1, 5 | 1+ s_5 , so we have $|P_5| = 5$. Then by (i) $n_5(G) = s_5/\phi(5) = 2016$, which means that 7 | |G|, a contradiction.

Case (d). $\pi(G) = \{2, 7\}$. Since $s_7 = 5760$, by Lemma 1, 7 | 1+ s_7 , so we have $|P_7| = 7$. Then by (i) $n_7(G) = s_7/\phi(7) = 960$, which means that 5 | |G|, a contradiction.

Case (e). $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5\}$. The proof is similar to Case (c).

Case (f). $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 7\}$. The proof is similar to Case (d).

Case (g). $\pi(G) = \{2, 5, 7\}$. The proof is similar to Case (c) or (d).

Case (h). $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. In the following, we first show that $|G| = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ or $|G| = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$, then prove that there is no group such that $|G| = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ and $\operatorname{nse}(G) = \operatorname{nse}(L_3(4))$, and show that $|G| = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ and $\operatorname{nse}(G) = \operatorname{nse}(L_3(4))$, we have $G \cong L_3(4)$.

Step 1. $|G| = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ or $|G| = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ We know that $|P_5| = 5$, $|P_7| = 7$. We will show that $15 \notin \omega(G)$. If $15 \in \omega(G)$, set P and Q are Sylow 5-subgroups of G, then P and Q are conjugate in Gand so $C_G(P)$ and $C_G(Q)$ are also conjugate in G. Therefore we have $s_{15} = \phi(15) \cdot n_5 \cdot k$, where k is the number of cyclic subgroups of order 3 in $C_G(P_5)$. As $n_5 = s_5/\phi(5) = 8064/4 = 2016$, $2016 \mid s_{15}$ and so $s_{15} = 8064$. But $15 \mid 1 + s_3 + s_5 + s_{15}(=$ 18369), a contradiction. We conclude that $15 \notin \omega(G)$. It follows that the group P_3 acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 19 and so $|P_3| \mid s_5(=$ 8064). So we have $|P_3| \mid 3^2$.

We will show that $14 \notin \omega(G)$. If $14 \in \omega(G)$, set P and Q are Sylow 7-subgroups of G, then P and Q are conjugate in G and so $C_G(P)$ and $C_G(Q)$ are also conjugate in G. Therefore we have $s_{14} = \phi(14) \cdot n_7 \cdot k$, where k is the number of cyclic subgroups of order 2 in $C_G(P_7)$. As $n_7 = s_7/\phi(7) = 5760/6 = 960$, $960 \mid s_{14}$ and so $s_{14} = 5760$. But $14 \mid 1 + s_2 + s_7 + s_{14}(= 11836)$, we get a contradiction. We have that $14 \notin \omega(G)$. It follows that the group P_2 acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 7 and so $|P_2| \mid s_7(= 8064)$. So we have $|P_2| \mid 2^7$. Therefore we have $|G| = 2^l \cdot 3^m \cdot 5 \cdot 7$. But

$$\sum_{s_k \in \text{nse}(G)} s_k = 20160 = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \leqslant 2^l \cdot 3^m \cdot 5 \cdot 7.$$

So we have the results.

Step 2. $G \cong L_3(4)$. First show that there is no group such that $|G| = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ and nse(G) = $nse(L_3(4))$. Then get the result in Ref. 3. Since $s_7 = 5760, n_7 = s_7/\phi(7) = 5760/6 = 2^6.3.5$. Since G is soluble, then by Lemma 4, $5 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$, a contradiction. So G is insoluble. Therefore we can suppose that G has a normal series $1 \lhd K \lhd L \lhd G$ such that L/K is isomorphic to a simple K_i -group with i = 3, 4 as 25 and 49 do not divide order of G. If L/K is isomorphic to a K_3 -simple group, then from Ref. 12 $L/K \cong A_5$, A_6 , $L_2(7)$, $L_2(8)$, $U_3(3)$, or $U_4(2)$. From Ref. 13, $n_5(L/K) = n_5(A_5) = 6$, and so $n_5(G) = 6t$ and $5 \nmid t$ for some integer t. Hence the number of elements of order 5 in G is $s_5 = 6t \cdot 4 = 24t$. Since $s_5 \in nse(G)$, then $s_5 = 8064$ and so t = 2016. Therefore $2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 ||K|| |2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$, which is a contradiction. For the other cases, similarly we can rule out these. If L/K is isomorphic to a K_4 -simple group, then from Ref. 14, we have the following. L/K is isomorphic to one of the following groups: A_7 , A_8 , A_9 , A_{10} ; $L_2(49)$, $L_3(4)$, $S_4(7)$, $S_6(2), U_3(5), U_4(3), J_2, \text{ or } O_8^+(2).$

If $L/K \cong A_7$, then from Ref. 13, $n_7(L/K) = 120$, and so $n_7(G) = 120t$ with $7 \nmid t$. Hence the number of elements of order 7 in G is $s_7 = 120t \cdot 6 = 720t$. Thus $s_7 = 5760$ and t = 8. Now $n_7(G) = 960$. On the other hand, by Sylow's Theorem $n_7(G) = 1, 8, 64$ or 288, a contradiction. For the remaining groups except $L_3(4)$, we can also rule out by the methods as A_7 .

In the following we show that $G \cong 2.L_3(4)$. From above, $L/K \cong L_3(4)$. Let $\overline{G} = G/K$ and $\overline{L} = L/K$. Then $L_3(4) \leqslant \overline{L} \cong \overline{L}C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{L})/C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{L}) \leqslant \overline{G}/C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{L}) = N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{L})/C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{L}) \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}(\overline{L})$

Set $M = \{xK \mid xK \in C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{L})\}$, then $G/M \cong \bar{G}/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{L})$ and so $L_3(4) \leqslant G/M \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}(L_3(4))$. Therefore $G/M \cong L_3(4)$, $G/M \cong 2.L_3(4)$ or G/M is isomorphic to $3.L_3(4)$, $S_3.L_3(4)$, $2.S_3.L_3(4)$, or $2.2.L_3(4)$.

If G/M is isomorphic to $3.L_3(4)$, $S_3.L_3(4)$, $2.S_3.L_3(4)$ or $2.2.L_3(4)$, then order consideration rules out this case.

If $G/M \cong L_3(4)$, |M| = 2 and so G has a normal subgroup of order 2, which is generated by a central involution. Thus G has an element of order 14, which is a contradiction.

If $G/M \cong 2.L_3(4)$, then |M| = 1. By Sylow's theorem, $n_7(G) = 1, 8, 36, 64, 288$. On the other hand, since $s_7 = 5760$ and $\exp(P_7) = 7$, we have $n_7 = s_7/\phi(7) = 5760/6 = 960$, a contradiction.

Second, since $|G| = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ and $\operatorname{nse}(G) = \operatorname{nse}(L_3(4))$, we have from Ref. 3, $G \cong L_3(4)$. This completes the proof.

ScienceAsia 39 (2013)

Acknowledgements: The object is supported by the Department of Education of Sichuan Province (No: 12ZB085, 12ZB291, and 13ZA0119). The author is very grateful for the helpful suggestions of the referee.

REFERENCES

- Shi WJ (1989) A new characterization of the sporadic simple groups. In: *Proceedings of the 1987 Singapore Conference on Group Theory*, pp 531–40.
- Shao CG, Shi WJ, Jiang QH (2009) A new characterization of simple K₃-groups. Adv Math (China) 38, 327–30 [in Chinese].
- Shao CG, Shi WJ, Jiang QH (2008) A new characterization of simple K₄-groups. Front Math China 3, 355–70.
- Guo S, Liu S, Shi W (2012) A new characterization of alternating group A₁₃. Far East J Math Sci 62, 15–28.
- Liu S (2012) A characterization of L₅(2). Arch Sci 65, 42–8.
- Liu S, Zhang R (2012) A new characterization of A₁₂. Math Sci 6, 30
- Khatami M, Khosravi B, Akhlaghi Z (2011) A new characterization for some linear groups. *Monatsh Math* 163, 39–50.
- Shen R, Shao C, Jiang Q, Shi W, Mazurov V (2010) A new characterization of A₅. Monatsh Math, 160, 337–41.
- 9. Frobenius G (1895) Verallgemeinerung des Sylowschen Satze, Berliner Sitz, pp 981–93.
- Miller G (1904) Addition to a theorem due to Frobenius. Bull Am Math Soc 11, 6–7.
- 11. Hall M (1959) The theory of groups. Macmillan, New York,.
- 12. Herzog M (1968) Finite simple groups divisible by only three primes. *J Algebra* **10**, 383–8.
- Conway JH, Curtis RT, Norton SP, Parker RA, Wilson RA (1985) Atlas of Finite Groups: Maximal Subgroups and Ordinary Characters for Simple Groups, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Shi W (1987) The simple groups of order 2^a3^b5^c7^d and Janko's simple groups. *J Southwest China Normal Univ* 12, 1–8.