Interaction of rosiglitazone and pioglitazone with organic cation transporters
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ABSTRACT: Thiazolidinedione drugs (TZDs) are used in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM). This study aimed to investigate the potential influence of TZDs on organic cation transporters (OCTs). Such interactions were examined using Chinese hamster ovary (CHO-K1) cells stably and singly transfected with rabbit (rb)OCT1 and rbOCT2, and in cells that endogenously express OCT1 (HepG2 cells) and OCT2 (LLC-PK1 cells). Rosiglitazone but not pioglitazone inhibited OCT1- and OCT2-mediated \(^{3}H\)-MPP\(^{+}\) uptake with half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC\(_{50}\)) of 7.4 ± 1.2 µM and 2.5 ± 0.4 µM, respectively. The mode of inhibition by rosiglitazone was further determined using kinetic analysis. We showed that rosiglitazone decreased the maximal transport (\(V_{\text{max}}\)) without affecting the transporter affinity (\(K_{m}\)), indicating that the inhibitory effect of rosiglitazone on OCT1 and OCT2 entails a noncompetitive mechanism. Similarly, the inhibitory effect of rosiglitazone on MPP\(^{+}\) uptake was observed in OCT1 and OCT2 endogenously expressed. We conclude that rosiglitazone may inhibit transport activity of OCT1 and OCT2 by interfering with a non-substrate-binding site on the transporters. Since rosiglitazone is used in combination with other drugs to treat DM-related diseases, its inhibitory effect on OCTs may influence the pharmacokinetic of cationic drugs.
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INTRODUCTION

Thiazolidinedione drugs (TZDs) including rosiglitazone and pioglitazone are used in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) patients\(^1\)-\(^5\). TZDs act as insulin sensitizers by activating of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR\(\gamma\)), a member of the nuclear receptor subfamily. PPAR\(\gamma\) activation by TZDs improves insulin sensitivity in liver, adipose tissue, and skeletal muscle\(^6\),\(^7\). TZDs are not commonly used as a first-line therapy but they are widely used in combination regimens, particularly in patients who do not respond to the conventional treatment regimens\(^2\). It has been reported that TZDs are used in combination with sulphonylurea, metformin, meglitinide, and \(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibitor for treatment of diabetes\(^8\). Hence it is possible that drug-drug interaction between TZDs and other antihyperglycaemic agents may lead to alternation in their pharmacokinetics as well as alterations in treatment efficacy and adverse effects. In addition, management of type 2 DM usually requires polypharmacy therapy to treat concurrent complications such as dyslipidemia and hypertension\(^8\),\(^9\), TZDs-drug interaction should be identified to help to control those conditions.

Organic cation transporters (OCTs) including OCT1 (SLC22A1) and OCT2 (SLC22A2) mainly express in liver and kidney, respectively, where they are localized in the basolateral membrane of cells, and uptake cationic compounds into the cells\(^10\). Basolateral uptake of organic cations into the cell by OCTs is driven by an inside-negative electrical potential difference\(^10\),\(^11\). These transporters are responsible for detoxification and elimination of xenobiotics from systemic circulation, and thus they are an important determinant of drugs efficacy and toxicity\(^10\),\(^12\)-\(^14\). It has been reported that OCT1 and OCT2 mediate excretion of therapeutic cationic drugs such as antihyperglycaemic drug (metformin) and antihypertensive drugs such as beta-blockers\(^15\)-\(^17\). Since the management of type 2 DM patients involves combined pharmaceutical drugs therapy, we investigated the potential influence of OCTs on TZDs-drug interaction. The interaction and mechanism of rosiglitazone and pioglitazone, with OCT1 and OCT2 were determined in heterologous and endogenous expressing system.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals
Radiolabelled methyl-4-phenylpyridinium acetate (\(^{3}\text{H-MPP}^+\)) was purchased from American Radiolabeled Chemical Inc. Rosiglitazone and pioglitazone were purchased from Cayman Chemical. Other chemicals were obtained from various sources with highest purity available.

Cell culture
rbOCT1 and rbOCT2 transfected in CHO-K1 cells were kindly provided by Prof. Stephen H. Wright (Dept. of Physiology, Univ. of Arizona, USA), HepG2 cells, and LLC-PK1 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. The transfected CHO-K1 cells were cultured in F12 Ham Kaighn’s modification (F12K) medium whereas HepG2 and LLC-PK1 cells grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM). Both media were supplemented with 10% FBS and 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin and were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO\(_2\), and 95% air atmosphere.

Transport assay in cell culture studies
The cells were grown in a 24-well plate for 48 h followed twice washed with Dulbecco’s modified phosphate-buffered saline (D-PBS) (NaCl 137 mM, KCl 3 mM, Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) 0.5 mM, KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) 1 mM, MgCl\(_2\) 0.5 mM, CaCl\(_2\) 1 mM, D-glucose 5.6 mM at pH 7.4) and were incubated at 37 °C for further 30 min. After a preincubation period, accumulation of \(^{3}\text{H-MPP}^+\) was determined by incubating the cell with D-PBS containing 10 nM \(^{3}\text{H-MPP}^+\) for 1 min (initial rate transport) at 37 °C. The uptake was stopped by removing the transport buffer, followed by three times washing with ice-cold D-PBS containing 1 mM unlabelled MPP\(^+\). The cells were solubilized overnight with 10% SDS in 0.4 N NaOH, neutralized with HCl. The accumulated \(^{3}\text{H-MPP}^+\) was measured by liquid scintillation beta counter (1214 Rackbeta, LKB Wallac, Sweden). The OCT-mediated MPP\(^+\) transport was calculated from subtraction of total transport by transport in mock cells.

Kinetics of OCT-mediated \(^{3}\text{H-MPP}^+\) transport
The half inhibitory concentrations (IC\(_{50}\)) of rosiglitazone for OCT-mediated MPP\(^+\) uptake was examined by incubating the cells with D-PBS containing radioactive medium (10 nM of \(^{3}\text{H-MPP}^+\)) plus varying concentrations of rosiglitazone for 1 min. The IC\(_{50}\) values were estimated using nonlinear regression analysis with GraphPad Prism. In addition, the kinetic parameters of MPP\(^+\) transport by OCTs in the presence of 10 µM rosiglitazone were determined using nonlinear regression analysis to fit the Michaelis-Menten kinetic equation:

\[
V = \frac{V_{\text{max}} [S]}{K_m + [S]}
\]

where \(V\) is the rate of MPP\(^+\) uptake, \(V_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum rate of MPP\(^+\) uptake, \(K_m\) is the MPP\(^+\) concentration that results in half-maximum transport (Michaelis-Menten constant), and \([S]\) is the concentration of MPP\(^+\) in the transport reaction.

Statistical analysis
Data were shown as means ± S.E. and \(n\) represents the number of experiments. In each experiment, a minimum of three wells was used to generate each data point for cell culture studies. Statistical differences were assessed by using Student’s t-test and one-way ANOVA (using GraphPad Prism software, La Jolla, CA). A significant level of differences were considered as statistical significance when \(p < 0.05\).

RESULTS

cis-Effect of TZDs on OCT1- and OCT2-mediated \(^{3}\text{H-MPP}^+\) uptake
To determine whether TZDs interact with OCT1 and OCT2, we investigated the cis-effect of rosiglitazone and pioglitazone on OCT-mediated \(^{3}\text{H-MPP}^+\) uptake in the OCT1 and OCT2 expressing CHO-K1 cells. Cells were incubated with transport buffer containing radioactive medium alone (control) or in the presence of 100 µM rosiglitazone or pioglitazone for 1 min. As shown in Fig. 1, rosiglitazone significantly decreased \(^{3}\text{H-MPP}^+\) uptake in both OCT1 and OCT2 expressing CHO-K1 cells comparing with the control. However, pioglitazone produced a slightly inhibitory effect in OCT2 expressing cells but had no effect on OCT1 expressing cells.

Concentration dependent of inhibitory effect of rosiglitazone on \(^{3}\text{H-MPP}^+\) uptake
Rosiglitazone showed inhibitory effect on transport of MPP\(^+\), the inhibitory potency of rosiglitazone was further determined. As shown in Fig. 2, rosiglitazone inhibited OCT1- and OCT2-mediated \(^{3}\text{H-MPP}^+\) uptake in a concentration-dependent manner over the concentration range of 1 µM to 100 µM. The IC\(_{50}\) values of rosiglitazone for OCT1 and OCT2 were 7.4 ± 1.2 µM and 2.5 ± 0.4 µM, respectively.
Mechanism by which rosiglitazone inhibited OCT-mediated $^3$H-MPP$^+$ uptake

The mode of rosiglitazone inhibition was characterized using kinetic analysis; Eadie-Hofstee plot analysis was performed. Fig. 3 showed that rosiglitazone decreased y-intercept of Eadie-Hofstee plot, but its slope was not influenced. Furthermore, the $V_{\text{max}}$ of OCT1-mediated MPP$^+$ uptake in the presence of rosiglitazone was significantly decreased compared to the control value (control, 26.1 ± 2.0 pmol/min/cm$^2$; with rosiglitazone, 15.1 ± 1.2 pmol/min/cm$^2$). The result of OCT2-mediated MPP$^+$ transport showed a similar tendency to OCT1, the $V_{\text{max}}$ of OCT2-mediated $^3$H-MPP$^+$ was significantly decreased by rosiglitazone (control, 45.6 ± 3.0 pmol/min/cm$^2$; with rosiglitazone, 25.9 ± 2.0 pmol/min/cm$^2$). In contrast to $V_{\text{max}}$, the $K_m$ values of OCT1 and OCT2 were not influenced by rosiglitazone.

Effect of rosiglitazone on OCT-mediated $^3$H-MPP$^+$ uptake in HepG2 cells and LLC-PK1 cells

We further examined the inhibitory effect of rosiglitazone on OCT transport function in HepG2 and LLC-PK1 cells that endogenously express OCT1 and OCT2, respectively. HepG2 cells and LLC-PK1 cells were incubated with medium containing $^3$H-MPP$^+$ alone or plus 10 µM rosiglitazone for 1 min followed...
measurement of $^3$H-MPP$^+$ accumulation in the cells. As shown in Fig. 4, uptakes of $^3$H-MPP$^+$ into both cell types were significantly inhibited by rosiglitazone. Furthermore, the IC$_{50}$ of rosiglitazone on $^3$H-MPP$^+$ transport in HepG2 cells and LLC-PK1 cells were 11.9 ± 2.3 µM and 4.0 ± 1.5 µM, respectively. As expected, the uptakes of $^3$H-MPP$^+$ into the cells were not affected by the presence of pioglitazone at high concentration.

**DISCUSSION**

Rosioglitazone maleate and pioglitazone hydrochlo- ride are prescribed in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. These patients require a pharmacological therapy combination to maintain the plasma glucose level and to treat diabetes-related diseases such as hyperlipidemia and hypertension. Hence drug-drug interactions should be addressed in patients who receive polypharmacy regimen. In this study, we examined the interaction of rosiglitazone and pioglitazone with OCT1 and OCT2, transporters responsible for clearance of cationic drugs from the body. Our data clearly demonstrates that rosiglitazone inhibits the uptake of $^3$H-MPP$^+$, a prototypic substrate of OCT1 and OCT2, in a concentration-dependent manner. Surprisingly, pioglitazone showed no interaction with either transporters. This data may be supported by clinical evidence showing that pioglitazone has low potential of drug interaction with other drugs used in diabetes.

We investigated the inhibitory effect of rosiglitazone further. The inhibitory potency of rosiglitazone for OCT2 was found to be higher than that of OCT1 as shown by the lower IC$_{50}$ value. In addition, the mechanism of OCT1 and OCT2 inhibition by rosiglitazone was determined from kinetic parameters of OCT-mediated MPP$^+$ transport. As evidence showing that rosiglitazone decreased $V_{\text{max}}$ but not $K_m$ of both transporters, it appeared that the inhibitory effects of rosiglitazone on OCT1 and OCT2 were mediated...
via non-competitive mechanism. It is possible that the inhibitory effect of rosiglitazone on MPP⁺ uptake might be mediated via binding of rosiglitazone with transporters, then immobilization of the transporters, leading to inhibition of the transport activity with itself not entering the cells. Recent study demonstrated that OCT2 contains several binding sites for organic cations as evidence showing that TEA and MPP⁺ interacted with OCT2 via different binding sites. Since MPP⁺ was used as a marker for monitoring OCT transport activity, therefore, we could not rule out the possibility that rosiglitazone could be a substrate of OCT by interacting with the transporters via non MPP⁺ binding site such as TEA binding site. However, this hypothesis need to be further investigated.

The interactions of rosiglitazone with OCT1 and OCT2 found in heterologous expressing cell were verified in HepG2 cells and LLC-PK1 cells that endogenously express OCT1 and OCT2, respectively. Our data demonstrated the similar inhibitory effect of rosiglitazone on organic cation transport in the endogenously expressing system of OCTs whereas pioglitazone produced no effect. These data confirmed that rosiglitazone inhibited OCT-mediated organic compounds transport.

Since OCTs are the major determinant of cationic drug pharmacokinetics, inhibition of OCT activity by rosiglitazone could decrease body clearance of these drugs. Rosiglitazone might increase the plasma level of cationic drugs frequently used in type 2 DM or DM-related conditions/diseases that are eliminated by OCTs such as metformin and beta-blockers. Our data showed that rosiglitazone had high affinities to OCT1 and OCT2, indicating that rosiglitazone may influence the body clearance of cationic drugs. It would be interesting to discover whether the inhibitory effect of rosiglitazone on the OCT transport function impacts the clinical setting. The pharmacokinetic of daily oral dose (8 mg) of rosiglitazone in healthy volunteers showed that its maximum concentration in plasma was 2.2 µM. Because rosiglitazone binds to plasma protein by 99%, the concentration of the
unbound form of rosiglitazone was calculated to be about 22 nM. Although the concentration of rosiglitazone may not be high enough to inhibit the uptake of MPP\(^+\), which is a substrate with high affinity for OCT, at the rosiglitazone concentration of 20 nM it may affect clearance of cationic drugs that have low affinity for OCT in clinical setting. Our unpublished data showed that the IC\(_{50}\) values of rosiglitazone for OCT1 and OCT2 were lower than that of metformin and metoprolol (metformin > 5 mM for OCT1 and 1.2 mM for OCT2; metoprolol were 86 ± 7 µM and 559 ± 25 µM, respectively, unpublished data). These data indicated that rosiglitazone could decrease clearance of other cationic drugs. Rosiglitazone is metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2C8, and the polymorphisms of this metabolizing enzyme have an impact on the plasma concentration of rosiglitazone. The possibility that rosiglitazone may affect the pharmacokinetic of other cationic drugs may increase in patients who have low activity of this enzyme, or receive drug-induced enzyme inhibition such as gemfibrozil and trimethoprim that increase the plasma level of rosiglitazone.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, the present study demonstrated that rosiglitazone but not pioglitazone inhibited OCT1- and OCT2-mediated transport of MPP\(^+\) by a noncompetitive manner. The inhibitory effect of rosiglitazone may influence the pharmacokinetic of cationic drugs in patients who have polymorphism of CYP450 and during concomitant administration of CYP2C8 inhibitors.
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