ITERATIVE PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

MAITREE PODISUK

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, King Mongkut Institute of Technology Ladkrabung,, Bangkok 10520, Thailand.

(Received March 18, 1993)

ABSTRACT

In this paper two theorems of iterative partial differential equation have been proved. One of them is theorem of uniqueness and the other is theorem of existence. An example is given.

I. GENERAL FORMULA

The iterative partial differential equation, on the set Z, is of the form

(1)
$$\frac{\partial^{n} u(x)}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2} \dots \partial x_{n}} = f(x, u(x), u^{2}(x), \dots, u^{m}(x))$$

with

(2)
$$u(x) = g(x)$$
 on the boundary of Z, where m is an integer greater than 1 and

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ . \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad u(x) = \begin{bmatrix} u_1(x) \\ u_2(x) \\ . \\ u_n(x) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and }$$

$$f(x, u(x), u^2(x), ..., u^m(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x, u(x), u^2(x), ..., u^m(x)) \\ f_2(x, u(x), u^2(x), ..., u^m(x)) \\ . \\ f_n(x, u(x), u^2(x), ..., u^m(x)) \end{bmatrix}$$
 and
$$u^2(x) = u(u(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} u_1(u_1(x), u_2(x), ..., u_n(x)) \\ u_2(u, (x), u_2(x), ..., u_n(x)) \\ . \\ u_n(u, (x), u_2(x), ..., u_n(x)) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u^3(x) = u(u^2(x))$$

$$u^{m}(x) = u(u^{m-1}(x))$$

$$Z = [o,a_1] \times [o,a_2] \times ... \times [o,a_n] , a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \text{ are in } R^+$$

$$u_i : Z \rightarrow R \quad , \quad f_i : D \rightarrow R \quad , \quad i = 1,2,...,n$$

$$u : Z \rightarrow R^n \quad , \quad f : D \rightarrow R^n$$

D is a suitable subset of $Z \times R^{mn}$.

If f and g are continuous in D then the problem(1)-(2)is equivalent to the problem of the existence of the continuous solution of the integral equation

(3)
$$u(x) = g(x) + \int_{0}^{x} f(t, u(t), u^{2}(t),...,u^{m}(t))dt.$$

II. UNIQUENESS

Let $f(x,z_1,z_2,...,z_m)$ be defined and continuous in D and g be defined and continuous in Z and let

(4)
$$||f(x,z_1,z_2,...,z_m)|| \le K$$

(5)
$$||f(x,z_1,z_2,...,z_m) - f(x, \overline{z}_1, \overline{z}_2,...,\overline{z}_m)||$$

$$\leq M_1 ||z_1 - z_1|| + M_2 ||z_2 - z_2|| + ... + M_m ||z_m - \overline{z}_m||$$

$$(6) ||g(x)|| \le L \le K$$

for every $(x,z_1,z_2,...,z_m)$, $(\overline{x},\overline{z}_1,\overline{z}_2,...,\overline{z}_m)$ in D and every x in Z and K,L,M₁,M₂,...,M_m in R⁺. The norm||.|| is the Euclidean norm.

We are looking for the solution u(x) of the problem (1)-(2) or (4) where

- (7) u(x) belongs to Z for all x in Z and
- (8) $||u(x) u(y)|| \le N ||x-y||$ for all x,y in Z and N in R⁺.

Let

$$S_{1} = M_{1} + M_{2}N + M_{3}N^{2} + ... + M_{m} N^{m-1}$$

$$S_{2} = M_{2} + M_{3}N + M_{3}N + ... + M_{m} N^{m-2}$$

$$S_{m-1} = M_{m-1} + M_{m}N$$

$$S_{m} = M_{m}$$

and $a=a_1\ a_2\ ...\ a_n$, $b=S_2+S_m+...+S_m$, $d=S_1^{(1/m)}$, $d>0,\ B=ab$, $C=a_1+a_2+...+a_n$, B, C in R+. Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If $Be^{dC} < 1$ and f and g satisfy the above conditions then there exists at most one solution to the problem (1)-(2).

Proof. Suppose u and v are two solutions of the problem (1)-(2) and let

$$p(x) = ||u(x) - v(x)||$$

and

$$P = \max ||u(x)-v(x)||$$

$$x \in Z$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{split} p(x) &= ||g(x) - \int_{o}^{x} f(t,u(t),u^{2}(t),...,u^{m}(t))dt - g(x) \\ &+ \int_{o}^{x} f(t,v(t),v^{2}(t),...,v^{m}(t))dt|| \\ &\leq \int_{o}^{x} ||f(t,u(t),u^{2}(t),...,u^{m}(t)) \\ &- f(t,v(t),v^{2}(t),...,v^{2}(t))|| dt \\ &\leq M_{1} \int_{o}^{x} ||u(t) - v(t)|| dt + M_{2} \int_{o}^{x} ||u^{2}(x)-v^{2}(t)|| dt \\ &+ ... + M_{m} \int_{o}^{x} ||u^{m}(t)-v^{m}(t))|| dt. \\ &||u^{2}(t) - v^{2}(t)|| \leq Np(t) + p(v(t)) \\ &||u^{3}(t) - v^{3}(t)|| \leq N^{2}p(t) + Np(v(t)) + p(v^{2}(t)) \\ &||u^{m}(t) - v^{m}(t)|| \leq N^{m-1}p(t) + N^{m-2}p(v(t)) + ... + Np(v^{m-2}(t)) \\ &+ p(v^{m-1}(t)) \end{split}$$

thus we have

But

and then

$$p(x) < S_1 \int_0^x p(t)dt + S_2 \int_0^x p(v(t))dt$$

$$+ ... + S_m \int_0^x p(v^{m-1}(t))dt$$

$$\leq S_1 \int_0^x p(t)dt + a S_2 P + a S_3 P + ... + a S_m P$$

$$= S_1 \int_0^x p(t)dt + a P [S_2 + S_3 + ... + S_m]$$

$$= S_1 \int_0^x p(t)dt + ab P.$$

Then

$$p(x) \leq a b P e^{d(x + x + ... + a)}$$

$$\leq a b P e^{d(a + a + ... + a)}$$

$$\leq a b P e^{d(a + a + ... + a)}$$

$$\leq a b P e^{d(a + a + ... + a)}$$

$$\leq B P e^{d(a + a + ... + a)}$$

but by the hypothesis that B e^{dC} < 1 then p(x) < P for all x in Z , thus p(x) must be equal to zero which ends the proof of theorem 1.

III. EXISTENCE

Let W =
$$a [M_1 + (N+1) M_2 + (N^2 + N + 1)M_3 + ... + (N^{m-1} + N^{m-2} + ... + N+1)M_m]$$

and let consider the sequence

(9)
$$u_{k+1}(x) = g(x) + \int_0^x f(t, u_k(t), u^2(t),...,u_k(t))dt$$

where $u_o(x)$ is fixed function of class C^1 map Z to Z such that
$$\left| \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \partial^n u_o(x) & \left| \right| \leq K. \end{array} \right|$$

 $\left\| \frac{\partial^{n} u_{0}(x)}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2} \dots \partial x_{n}} \right\| \leq K.$

Hence we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let the conditions of theorem 1 hold and W < 1 then the sequence (9) converges uniformly to the (unique) solution u = u(x) of the problem (1)-(2).

Proof. We put

$$U_{k} = \max ||u_{k+1}(x) - u_{k}(x)||$$

$$x \in z$$

then we have

$$U_k \leq W^{k-1} U_o$$
.

Thus U_k tends to zero as k tends to infinity. This means that if $\{u_a(.)\}$ is a subsequence of $\{u_k(.)\}$ tending uniformly to some u(.) then u(.) is a solution of the problem (1)-(2). Since the family $\{u_n\}$ is an Arzela-Ascoli family, thus for every subsequence $\{u_k\}$ of $\{u_k\}$ there exists a subsequence $\{u_k\}$ uniformly convergent and the limit needs to be a solution of the problem (1)-(2) as it was mentioned above. Thus the sequence $\{u_n\}$ tend uniformly to the (unique) solution u of the problem (1)-(2). This ends the proof of theorem 2.

IV. EXAMPLE

Find the solution, in $Z = [0,1] \times [0,1]$ of the equation

$$\frac{\partial^{2} u(x,y)}{\partial x \partial y} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{x}{8} - \frac{5y}{9} + \frac{5xy}{9} + u_{1}(x,y) - u_{2}(x,y) + u_{1}(u_{1},u_{2}) \\ + u_{2}(u_{2},u_{2}) \\ \frac{1}{4} + \frac{x}{8} + \frac{5y}{8} - \frac{5xy}{8} - u_{1}(x,y) + u_{2}(x,y) - u_{1}(u_{1},u_{2}) \\ - u_{2}(u_{2},u_{2}) \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$g(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x} + \underline{y}, & \underline{x} - \underline{y} \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

i.e. the initial conditions is that

$$u(x,0) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x}{4} \\ \frac{x}{4} \end{bmatrix}, u(0,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{y}{4} \\ \frac{-y}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $u_o(x,y) = g(x,y)$ and by the equation (9) we get

$$u_{1}(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x}{4} + \frac{y}{4} - \frac{xy}{4} + \frac{5x^{2}y^{2}}{32} \\ \frac{x}{4} - \frac{y}{4} + \frac{xy}{4} - \frac{5x^{2}y^{2}}{32} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_{2}(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x}{4} + \frac{y}{4} - \frac{xy}{4} + \frac{25x^{3}y^{3}}{576} \\ \frac{x}{4} + \frac{y}{4} + \frac{xy}{4} - \frac{25x^{2}y^{2}}{576} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_{k}(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x}{4} + \frac{y}{4} - \frac{xy}{4} + \frac{5^{k}x^{k+1}y^{k+1}}{2^{k+1} 2^{2} 3^{2} \dots (k+1)^{2}} \\ \frac{x}{4} + \frac{y}{4} + \frac{xy}{4} - \frac{5^{k}x^{k+1}y^{k+1}}{2^{k+1} 2^{2} 3^{2} \dots (k+1)^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x(y) \text{ tends to} \quad [x + y - xy + xy + xy]^{T}$$

then $u_k(x,y)$ tends to $\left[\begin{array}{cc} \underline{x+y-xy} \\ 4 \end{array}, \begin{array}{cc} \underline{x-y+xy} \end{array}\right]^T$

as k tends to infinity. Thus the solution of the given equation is

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{x+y-xy}{4} \\ \frac{x-y+xy}{4} \end{array}\right]$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The auther would like to express his thanks to Prof.Andrzej Pelczar for his advisement.

REFERENCES

- 1. R.R. Goldberg (1976) Methods of Real Analysis, John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- 2. A.Pelczar (1968) On Some Iterative-Differential Equations I, Zeszyty Naukowe UJ, Prace Matematyczne 12, 53-56.
- 3. A.Pelczar (1969) On Some Iterative-Differential Equations II, Zeszyty Naukowe UJ, *Prace Matematyczne* 13, 48-51.